



Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services

Mental Health Services Act Fiscal Year 2010/11 Annual Update to The Three-Year Program and Expenditure Plan

2010/11 ANNUAL UPDATE COUNTY SUMMARY SHEET EXHIBIT A

This document is intended to be used by the County to provide a summary of the components included within this annual update or update. Additionally, it serves to provide the County with a listing of the exhibits pertaining to each component.

County:	Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services																					
				Exhibits																		
			Α	В	С	C1	D	D1*	Е	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	F**	F1**	F2**	F3**	F4**	F5**	G***	H***
For each annu	ual update/upda	te:	V	4	V	✓			7													
Component	Previously Approved	New		1																		
✓ css	\$4,510,900	\$3,927,500				✓	√			V												
☐ WET	\$	\$																				
☐ CF	\$	\$																				
☐ TN	\$	\$																				
✓ PEI	\$1,271,600	\$833,100				V	V						V									
☐ INN	\$	\$																				
Total	\$5,979,100	\$5,979,100 \$4,910,800				•		•	-	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•		-	
Dates of 30-d	ay public revie	ew comment p	eriod:	:								Be	eginnir	ng Mar	ch 8 to	April	8th					
	c Hearing****:						Public Hearing April 8															
Date of submission of the Annual MHSA Revenue and Expenditure Report to DMH:				to co	me																	

^{*}Exhibit D1 is only required for program/project elimination.

^{**}Exhibit F - F5 is only required for new programs/projects.

^{***}Exhibit G is only required for assigning funds to the Local Prudent Reserve.

^{****}Exhibit H is only required for assigning funds to the MHSA Housing Program.

^{*****}Public Hearings are required for annual updates, but not for updates.

COUNTY CERTIFICATION

County: Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services

County Mental Health Director	Project Lead
Name: Brad Luz Ph.D.	Name: Patrick Larrigan
Telephone Number: (530) 822-7200	Telephone Number: (530) 822-7200
E-mail: bluz@co.sutter.ca.us	E-mail: plarrigan@co.sutter.ca.us
Mailing Address: 1965 Live Oak Blvd. Yuba City, Ca., 95991	

I hereby certify that I am the official responsible for the administration of county mental health services in and for said county and that the County has complied with all pertinent regulations, laws and statutes for this annual update/update, including all requirements for the Workforce Education and Training component. Mental Health Services Act funds are and will be used in compliance with Welfare and Institutions Code section 5891 and Title 9 of the California Code of Regulations section 3410, Non-Supplant.

This annual update has been developed with the participation of stakeholders, in accordance with sections 3300, 3310, subdivision (d), and 3315, subdivision (a). The draft FY 2010/11 annual update was circulated for 30 days to stakeholders for review and comment and a public hearing was held by the local mental health board of commission. All input has been considered with adjustments made, as appropriate.

The County Mental Health Director approves all Capital Facilities and Technological Needs (CFTN) projects.

The County has complied with all requirements for the Workforce Education and Training component and the Capital Facilities segment of the CFTN component.

The costs of any Capital Facilities renovation projects in this annual update are reasonable and consistent with what a prudent buyer would incur.

The information provided for each work plan is true and correct.

All documents in the attached FY 2010/11 annual update/update are true and correct.

Brad Luz Ph.D		
Mental Health Director/Designee (PRINT)	Signature	Date

COMMUNITY PROGRAM PLANNING AND LOCAL REVIEW PROCESS

County: Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services
Date: February 25, 2010

Instructions: Utilizing the following format please provide a brief description of the Community Program Planning and Local Review Processes that were conducted as part of this annual update/update per title 9 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 3300 and 3315.

Counties may elect to attach the Mental Health Board meeting minutes in which the annual update was discussed if it provides additional information that augments the responses to these questions.

Community Program Planning

1. Briefly describe the Community Program Planning (CPP) Process for development of the FY 2010/11 annual update/update. Include the methods used to obtain stakeholder input.

Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services' Annual Update maintains programs identified in our Three Year Program and Expenditure Update Fiscal Year 2010-11. Our proposal maintains current programs at current service levels. This annual update was made available for public review for 30 days at Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services, County Libraries, and the County Administrators' Offices. In addition, this annual update was posted on our County website along with the original plan and the augmentation to the plan. Clients, family members, and stakeholders continue to be involved in the ongoing planning and implementation of the CSS Plan. Participation occurs throughout the organization. A brief description of some of the ways in which participation occurs is below:

The Mental Health Board membership is composed of consumers, family members and community stakeholders.

Consumer employees attend the monthly MHSA and Mental Health Management-Supervisor meetings. They assist in creating systems navigation tools, and provide commentary on the process.

Consumers attend weekly MHSA FSP (Full Service Partnership) and non-FSP meetings and give input on system design issues and make recommendations for improvement.

2. Identify the stakeholder entities involved in the Community Program Planning (CPP) Process.

The participating stakeholders included:

SYMHS Children's Mental Health	Mental Health Advisory Board
SYMHS Ethnic Services	Yuba County CPS
SYMHS Drug and Alcohol	Harmony Health Clinic
SYMHS Resource Services	Yuba County Probation
Sutter County Probation	Yuba County BOS
Sutter County K-12	Sutter County CPS
Parents of child consumers	SYMHS PES
SYMHS CSOC	SYMHS Adult Services
SYMHS Ethnic Outreach Services	Sutter County BOS
Domestic Violence Services provider	Yuba County CalWorks
Hmong American Association	Sutter County Employment Services
Yuba City Police Department	Yuba City Unified School District

COMMUNITY PROGRAM PLANNING AND LOCAL REVIEW PROCESS

Victor Treatment Services	Family members
Consumers	Parent Partner
Peer Advisors	Family Intervention and Community
	Support
Sutter County Sheriff	Salvation Army
Parent disabled Adult	Sutter-Yuba Friday Night Live
Marysville Joint Unified School District	Sutter County Office of Education
Betterday Provider	Foster Parents Association
Options for Change	First Steps
Yuba County Department of Social	Yuba County APS
Services	

3. If eliminating a program/project, please include how the stakeholders were involved and had the opportunity to participate in the decision to eliminate the program/project.

n/a

Local Review Process

4. Describe methods used to circulate, for the purpose of public comment, the annual update or update.

The 30 day public comment process for review of the MHSA Plan, Fiscal Year (FY) 2010/11 Annual Update to the Three-Year Program and Expenditure Plan commenced on March 8, 2010. The notification of public hearing and the FY 2010/11 Annual Update was distributed to all Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services provider sites, and made available at the Sutter County and the Yuba County main libraries. This notification of public hearing and the update were available for public review at the Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services website, Network of Care website for Sutter County, and Network of Care website for Yuba County. The internet addresses are listed below:

http://www.co.sutter.ca.us http://www.Sutter.networkofcare.org http://www.Yuba.networkofcare.org

The Notice of Public Hearing was mailed to all leadership committee members and partner agencies; was posted at the Sutter County and Yuba County main libraries; was posted in the Appeal-Democrat newspaper; and was provided to anyone who requested a copy. Public comments could either be emailed to plarrigan@co.sutter.ca.us or mailed to MHSA Coordinator, Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services, at 1965 Live Oak Blvd., P.O. Box 1520, Yuba City, CA 95992 or presented in person. The public hearing before the local Mental Health Board was held on April 8, 2010. The public comment period ended at the conclusion of that meeting.

5. Include substantive comments received during the stakeholder review and public hearing, responses to those comments, and a description of any substantive changes made to the proposed annual update/update that was circulated. The County should indicate if no substantive comments were received.

To come

County: Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services

Date: February 25, 2010

Instructions: Welfare and Institutions Code section 5848 specifies that DMH shall establish requirements for the content of the annual update and updates including reports on the achievement of performance outcomes for services. Provide an update on the overall progress of the County's implementation of the MHSA including CSS, PEI and WET components during FY 2008/09.

CSS, WET and PEI

1. Briefly report on how the implementation of the MHSA is progressing: whether implementation activities are generally proceeding as described in the County's approved Plan, any key differences, and any major challenges.

The implementation of MHSA is proceeding as described in the County's approved plan.

2. Provide a brief narrative description of progress in providing services to unserved and underserved populations, with emphasis on reducing racial/ethnic service disparities.

The Ethnic Outreach & Engagement Program consists of three targeted outreach teams that have been established for our major unserved or underserved ethnic bilingual/monolingual populations: Latino, Hmong and Asian Indian. This program allows for the reduction in disparities for the identified ethnic groups who are now underserved in our community; providing improved access through the provision of services in community settings actively utilized by these populations; improved integration with mental health and substance abuse services, improved integration between child and adult systems to bridge gaps in services; a culturally sensitive family-friendly approach to service planning and delivery. The expertise and services of the Ethnic Outreach & Engagement Team is utilized by all MHSA CSS programs as needed to provide culturally and linguistically competent services to the MHSA clients we serve.

- A successful strategy in reaching our underserved Hmong populations has been the opening of the Hmong Community Center. The significance of the Hmong Community Center is that it is located closer to the Hmong community which helps to eliminate accessibility barriers and helps reduce stigma. Hmong clients have expressed their appreciation in having the Hmong Center and Hmong staff to provide needed services.
- Successful strategies for the Latino population have been to offer services by at Del Norte Clinic(s), schools and home visits.
- Successful strategies for engagement of the Asian Indian population have been to conduct outreach events in the
 community where Asian Indian populations meet including at the "Sangrand" event at the Sikh Temple in Yuba City,
 the Punjabi American Heritage Society Festival at the Yuba City Fairgrounds in Yuba City, and providing
 advertisement in Punjabi on the local television Channel 19 to inform the Asian Indian public about counseling
 services.
- BEST (Bi-County Elders Services Team), the older adult mobile assessment team has been very successful in
 providing services to unserved or underserved older adults (60 years plus) with a serious mental illness who are, or
 are at risk of being homeless, who may also have a co-occurring disorder (mental health and substance abuse), and
 who are unwilling or unable to access traditional services. BEST has made a difference in the community, by forging
 relationships with our partners and with the underserved senior population.

The Integrated Full Service Partnership (FSP) is effectively serving unserved or underserved youth and adults. To date no older adults have been admitted to the FSP. A Spanish speaking Latina therapist was added part time to the FSP team in 2008, and is available to provide culturally and linguistically appropriate services to bi-lingual/monolingual Spanish speaking youth their families.

Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services (SYMHS) has an active Cultural Competence Committee (CCC) that oversees ongoing cultural competence training, and monitors policies and procedures (P&Ps) to ensure that culturally and linguistically competent services are available to Sutter-Yuba residents, including the right for Limited English Proficient (LEP) clients to have access to free language assistance services, and how to access interpreters. SYMHS' staff members have participated in an agency wide seminar about "Creating Welcoming Based Culture in Organizations & Communities" by Bruce Anderson; A wellness and recovery training, "Personal and Program Transformation", presented by Mark Ragins, M.D. of the Village; "The African American Culture", presented by David Smith, M.D.; a client culture training entitled "The Consumer Experience"; two interpreter trainings, "How to Interpret" and "Working with Interpreters" presented by Emerita Banuelos, LCSW and Mai Vang, ASW; and Rajdeep Randhawa, ASW presented Youth Services

staff with a presentation on the East Indian culture and therapeutic approaches

3. Provide the following information on the number of individuals served:

	CSS	PEI	WET	
Age Group	# of individuals	# of individuals (for universal prevention, use estimated #)	Funding Category	# of individuals
Child and Youth	111		Workforce Staff Support	
Transition Age Youth	166		Training/Technical Assist.	
Adult	453		MH Career Pathway	
Older Adult	76		Residency & Internship	
Race/Ethnicity			Financial Incentive	
White	653			
African/American	25		[] WET not implemented in	08/09
Asian	0			
Pacific Islander	0			
Native	2			
Hispanic	202			
Multi	19			
Other	107			
Other Cultural Groups				
LGBTQ				
Other				
Primary Language				
Spanish	84			
Vietnamese	0			
Cantonese	1			
Mandarin	0			
Tagalog	0			
Cambodian	1			
Hmong	81			
Russian	0			
Farsi	2			
Arabic	0			
Other	637			

PEI

- 4. Please provide the following information for each PEI Project:
 - a) The problems and needs addressed by the Project.
 - b) The type of services provided.
 - c) Any outcomes data, if available. (Optional)
 - d) The type and dollar amount of leveraged resources and/ or in-kind contributions (if applicable).

County: Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services	
Date: February 25, 2010	

Instructions: Welfare and Institutions Code section 5848 specifies that DMH shall establish requirements for the content of the annual update and updates including reports on the achievement of performance outcomes for services. Provide an update on the overall progress of the County's implementation of the MHSA including CSS, PEI and WET components during FY 2008/09.

CSS, WET and PEI

1. Briefly report on how the implementation of the MHSA is progressing: whether implementation activities are generally proceeding as described in the County's approved Plan, any key differences, and any major challenges.

Our original PEI plan was approved on August 28, 2009. We have not experienced major challenges to the implementation as we are in the early stages of implementation.

2. Provide a brief narrative description of progress in providing services to unserved and underserved populations, with emphasis on reducing racial/ethnic service disparities.

Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services (SYMHS) has an active Cultural Competence Committee (CCC) that oversees ongoing cultural competence training, and monitors policies and procedures (P&Ps) to ensure that culturally and linguistically competent services are available to Sutter-Yuba residents, including the right for Limited English Proficient (LEP) clients to have access to free language assistance services, and how to access interpreters. SYMHS' staff members have participated in an agency wide seminar about "Creating Welcoming Based Culture in Organizations & Communities" by Bruce Anderson; A wellness and recovery training, "Personal and Program Transformation", presented by Mark Ragins, M.D. of the Village; "The African American Culture", presented by David Smith, M.D.; a client culture training entitled "The Consumer Experience"; two interpreter trainings, "How to Interpret" and "Working with Interpreters" presented by Emerita Banuelos, LCSW and Mai Vang, ASW; and Rajdeep Randhawa, ASW presented Youth Services staff with a presentation on the East Indian culture and therapeutic approaches.

3. Provide the following information on the number of individuals served:

	CSS	PEI	WET			
Age Group	# of individuals	# of individuals (for universal prevention, use estimated #)	Funding Category	# of individuals		
Child and Youth			Workforce Staff Support			
Transition Age Youth			Training/Technical Assist.			
Adult			MH Career Pathway			
Older Adult			Residency & Internship			
Race/Ethnicity			Financial Incentive			
White						
African/American			[] WET not implemented in	08/09		
Asian						
Pacific Islander						
Native						
Hispanic						
Multi						
Other						
Other Cultural Groups						
LGBTQ						
Other						
Primary Language						
Spanish						
Vietnamese						
Cantonese						

Other		
Arabic		
Farsi		
Russian		
Hmong		
Cambodian		
Tagalog		
Mandarin		

PEI

- 4. Please provide the following information for each PEI Project:
 - a) The problems and needs addressed by the Project.
 - b) The type of services provided.
 - c) Any outcomes data, if available. (Optional)
 - d) The type and dollar amount of leveraged resources and/ or in-kind contributions (if applicable).

The target populations to be addressed by PEI Community Prevention Team are Individuals Experiencing Onset of Serious Psychiatric Illness, Children and Youth in Stressed Families, Children and Youth at Risk for School Failure Children and Youth at Risk of or Experiencing Juvenile Justice Involvement and Underserved Cultural Populations. The Community Prevention Team is an interagency, multidisciplinary team to work with target populations with Schools, Family Resource Centers (FRCs), Churches, etc. in each County. The services provided are expanding mentoring programs, expanding strengthening families program and recreational opportunities.

The target populations to be addressed by PEI First Onset Team are Individuals Experiencing Onset of Serious Psychiatric Illness, Children and Youth in Stressed Families, Children and Youth at Risk for School Failure Children and Youth at Risk of or Experiencing Juvenile Justice Involvement and Underserved Cultural Populations. The First Onset Team deals with individual who have not previously been involved in the Mental Health System.

		PREVIOUSLY A	APPROVE	D PROGRAM	Select one:
County: Sutte	er-Yuba Mental Health Services	⊠ CSS □ WET			
Program Nun	nber/Name: <u>2/Urgent Services</u>			_	□ PEI □ INN
Date: Februa	ry 25,2010			_	
		CS	S and WE	T	
reviously Appro	oved				
_	0	V	NI -		

	CSS and WET								
Previ	ously Approved		o an	u ##E1					
No.	Question	Yes	No						
1.	Is this an existing program with no changes?			If yes, answer question #5 and complete Exh.E1 or E2 accordingly; If no, answer question #2					
2.	Is there a change in the service population to be served?			If yes, complete Exh. F1; If no, answer question #3					
3.	Is there a change in services?			If yes, complete Exh. F1; If no, answer question #4					
4.	Is there a change in funding amount for the existing program?			If yes, answer question #4(a); If no, complete Exh. E1or E2 accordingly					
a)	Is the change within ±15% of previously approved amount?			If yes, answer question #5 and complete Exh. E1or E2; If no, complete Exh. F1 and complete table below. FY 09/10 funding FY 10/11 funding Percent Change					
5.	race/ethnicity and language spoken of the population to be serve The Urgent Services Program has been developed to serve all a	d. ges withers,	th dist are a	o be served. This should include information about targeted age, gender, inct, age appropriate services for youth and for adults, who have acute mental risk of hospitalization or are at risk of incarceration in jails/juvenile justice personnel to identify children at greatest risk.					
No.	Question	Yes	No						
1.	Is this a consolidation of two or more existing programs?			If yes, answer question #2; If no, answer questions for existing program above					
2.	Will all populations of existing program continue to be served?	H	H	If yes, answer question #3; If no, complete Exh. F1					
3.	Will all services from existing program continue to be offered?			If yes, answer question #4 If no, complete Exh. F1					
4.	Is the funding amount ± 15% of the sum of the previously approved amounts?			If yes, answer question #5 and complete Exh. E1 or E2 accordingly If no, complete Exh. F1					
5.	Description of Previously Approved Programs to be consolidated a) The names of Previously Approved programs to be consolidated b) Describe the target population to be served and the services by the population to be served)., and c) Provide the rationale for consolidation.	ated,		your description: be provided (include targeted age, gender, race/ethnicity, and language spoken					

	Prevention and Early Intervention									
No.	Question	Yes	No							
1.	Is this an existing program with no changes?			If yes, compl	ete Exh. E4; If no, answer question #2	2				
2.	Is there a change in the Priority Population or the Community Mental Health Needs?	☐ ☐ If yes		If yes, compl	If yes, completed Exh. F4; If no, answer question #3					
3.	Is the current funding requested greater than 15% of the previously approved amount?			If yes, compl	ete Exh. F4; If no, answer question #4	ı				
4.	Is the current funding requested greater than 35% less of the previously approved amount?	☐ ☐ If yes, comp			ete Exh. F4; If no, answer questions 5	i, 5a, and 5b				
5.	Describe the proposed changes to the Previously Approved Program and the rationale for those changes.									
5a.	If the total number of Individuals to be served annually is differen	nt than	previ	ously reported	please provide revised estimates					
	Total Individuals: Total Families:									
5b.	If the total number of clients by type of prevention annually is different than previously reported please provide revised estimates:	Universal Prevention		l Prevention	Selective/Indicated Prevention	Early Intervention				
	Total Individuals:									
	Total Families:									
Exist	ing Programs to be Consolidated									
No.	Question	Yes	No							
1.	Is this a consolidation of two or more existing programs?			If yes, answei	r question #2; If no, answer questions	for existing program above				
2.	Is there a change in the Priority Population or the Community Mental Health Needs?			If no, answer	question #3; If yes, complete Exh. F4					
3.	Will the consolidated programs continue to serve the same estimated number of individuals?			If yes, answer	r question #4; If no, complete Exh. F4					
4.	Description of Previously Approved Programs to be consolidated. a) The names of Previously Approved programs to be consolidated. b) How the Previously approved programs will be consolidated. c) Provide the rationale for consolidation	olidate	d,	our descriptior	า:					

	Innovation						
No.	Question	Yes	No				
1.	Is this an existing program with no changes			If yes, complete Exh. E5; If no, answer question #2			
2.	Is there a change in the essential purpose?			If yes, complete Exh. F5; If no, answer question #3			
3.	Is there a change to the learning goals?			If yes, complete Exh. F5; If no, answer question #4			
4.	Are two existing programs being consolidated?			If yes, complete Exh. F5; If no, answer question #5			
5.	Is the funding requested ±15% of previously approved amount?			If yes, answer question #6 and complete Exh. E5; If no, complete Exh. F5			
6.	For all existing programs expanded or reduced, the County s for the changes.	hould des	cribe 1	the proposed changes to the most recent approved INN program and the rationale			

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROGRAM

_	auntin Crittan Vicka Mantal Haalth Comdana				Select one:
C	ounty: Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services				⊠ CSS
Р	rogram Number/Name: <u>5/Older Adult Services</u>				\\ \tag{\cup \text{PEI}}
_					□ INN
D	ate: February 25, 2010				
		CS	SS	and	d WET
	iously Approved				
No.	Question	Yes	N	lo	
1.	Is this an existing program with no changes?				If yes, answer question #5 and complete Exh.E1 or E2 accordingly; If no, answer question #2
2.	Is there a change in the service population to be served?				If yes, complete Exh. F1; If no, answer question #3
3.	Is there a change in services?				If yes, complete Exh. F1; If no, answer question #4
4.	Is there a change in funding amount for the existing program?				If yes, answer question #4(a); If no, complete Exh. E1or E2 accordingly
a)	Is the change within ±15% of previously approved amount?				If yes, answer question #5 and complete Exh. E1or E2; If no, complete Exh. F1 and complete table below.
					FY 09/10 funding FY 10/11 funding Percent Change
5.	race/ethnicity and language spoken of the population to be serve	d. older a	adu	ılts	be served. This should include information about targeted age, gender, aged 60 and over who are physically or geographically isolated and who have y places them in underserved populations within our community.
Evic	ting Programs to be Consolidated				
No.	Question	Yes		lo	
1.	Is this a consolidation of two or more existing programs?				If yes, answer question #2; If no, answer questions for existing program above
2.	Will all populations of existing program continue to be served?		누	_	If yes, answer question #3; If no, complete Exh. F1
3.	Will all services from existing program continue to be offered?	H	╁╞	1	If yes, answer question #4
0.	Will all convices from existing program contained to be energe.		-	_	If no, complete Exh. F1
4.	Is the funding amount ± 15% of the sum of the previously		T		If yes, answer question #5 and complete Exh. E1 or E2 accordingly
	approved amounts?				If no, complete Exh. F1
5.	Description of Previously Approved Programs to be consolidated a) The names of Previously Approved programs to be consolidated b) Describe the target population to be served and the services by the population to be served)., and c) Provide the rationale for consolidation.	ated,		•	your description: be provided (include targeted age, gender, race/ethnicity, and language spoken

	Prevention and Early Intervention									
No.	Question	Yes	No							
1.	Is this an existing program with no changes?			If yes, comple	ete Exh. E4; If no, answer question #	2				
2.	Is there a change in the Priority Population or the Community Mental Health Needs?			If yes, comple	eted Exh. F4; If no, answer question	#3				
3.	Is the current funding requested greater than 15% of the previously approved amount?	☐ ☐ ☐ If yes, compl			ete Exh. F4; If no, answer question #	4				
4.	Is the current funding requested greater than 35% less of the previously approved amount?			If yes, comple	ete Exh. F4; If no, answer questions	5, 5a, and 5b				
5.	Describe the proposed changes to the Previously Approved Program and the rationale for those changes.									
5a.	If the total number of Individuals to be served annually is differer	at than	previo	ously reported r	please provide revised estimates					
Ja.	Total Individuals: Total Families:	it tilali	previo	ously reported p	blease provide revised estimates					
5b.	If the total number of clients by type of prevention annually is different than previously reported please provide revised estimates:	Universal Prevention		I Prevention	Selective/Indicated Prevention	Early Intervention				
	Total Individuals:									
	Total Families:									
	ing Programs to be Consolidated									
No.	Question	Yes	No							
1.	Is this a consolidation of two or more existing programs?	Ш	Ш	If yes, answer	question #2; If no, answer questions	s for existing program above				
2.	Is there a change in the Priority Population or the Community Mental Health Needs?			If no, answer of	question #3; If yes, complete Exh. F4	1				
3.	Will the consolidated programs continue to serve the same estimated number of individuals?			If yes, answer	question #4; If no, complete Exh. F4	1				
4.	Description of Previously Approved Programs to be consolidated. a) The names of Previously Approved programs to be consolidated. b) How the Previously approved programs will be consolidated. c) Provide the rationale for consolidation	olidate	d,	our description	:					

	Innovation						
No.	Question	Yes	No				
1. Is	s this an existing program with no changes			If yes, complete Exh. E5; If no, answer question #2			
2. ls	s there a change in the essential purpose?			If yes, complete Exh. F5; If no, answer question #3			
3. ls	s there a change to the learning goals?			If yes, complete Exh. F5; If no, answer question #4			
4. A	Are two existing programs being consolidated?			If yes, complete Exh. F5; If no, answer question #5			
	s the funding requested ±15% of previously approved amount?			If yes, answer question #6 and complete Exh. E5; If no, complete Exh. F5			
	For all existing programs expanded or reduced, the County sho for the changes.	uld des	cribe t	the proposed changes to the most recent approved INN program and the rationale			

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROGRAM

				Select one:
Co	ounty: Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services			⊠ CSS
D.	agram Number/Nemer 6/Ethnic Outrooch Services			□ WET
FI	ogram Number/Name: 6/Ethnic Outreach Services			
Da	ite: February 25, 2010			
				
		CS	S and	d WET
	ously Approved			
No.	Question	Yes	No	
-	Is this an existing program with no changes?			If yes, answer question #5 and complete Exh.E1 or E2 accordingly; If no, answer question #2
2.	Is there a change in the service population to be served?			If yes, complete Exh. F1; If no, answer question #3
3.	Is there a change in services?			If yes, complete Exh. F1; If no, answer question #4
↓ .	Is there a change in funding amount for the existing program?			If yes, answer question #4(a); If no, complete Exh. E1or E2 accordingly
a)	Is the change within ±15% of previously approved amount?			If yes, answer question #5 and complete Exh. E1or E2; If no, complete Exh. F1 and complete table below.
				FY 09/10 funding FY 10/11 funding Percent Change
).	race/ethnicity and language spoken of the population to be served. The Ethnic Outreach Program targets our major underserved population.	d. ulatior idults a	ns: La and ol	be served. This should include information about targeted age, gender, attino, Hmong and Punjabi speaking Asian Indians. Each program is der adults within each cultural group. Within these broader categories, females em, and specifically within these cultures.
	ng Programs to be Consolidated			
lo.	Question	Yes	No	
	Is this a consolidation of two or more existing programs?	Ш	Ш	If yes, answer question #2; If no, answer questions for existing program above
2.	Will all populations of existing program continue to be served?			If yes, answer question #3; If no, complete Exh. F1
3.	Will all services from existing program continue to be offered?			If yes, answer question #4
				If no, complete Exh. F1
l .	Is the funding amount ± 15% of the sum of the previously approved amounts?	Ш		If yes, answer question #5 and complete Exh. E1 or E2 accordingly If no, complete Exh. F1
5.	Description of Previously Approved Programs to be consolidated. a) The names of Previously Approved programs to be consolidated. b) Describe the target population to be served and the services/s by the population to be served)., and c) Provide the rationale for consolidation.	ted,	•	your description: be provided (include targeted age, gender, race/ethnicity, and language spoken

	Prevention and Early Intervention									
No.	Question	Yes	No							
1.	Is this an existing program with no changes?			If yes, comple	ete Exh. E4; If no, answer question #	2				
2.	Is there a change in the Priority Population or the Community Mental Health Needs?			If yes, comple	eted Exh. F4; If no, answer question	#3				
3.	Is the current funding requested greater than 15% of the previously approved amount?	☐ ☐ ☐ If yes, compl			ete Exh. F4; If no, answer question #	4				
4.	Is the current funding requested greater than 35% less of the previously approved amount?			If yes, comple	ete Exh. F4; If no, answer questions	5, 5a, and 5b				
5.	Describe the proposed changes to the Previously Approved Program and the rationale for those changes.									
5a.	If the total number of Individuals to be served annually is differer	at than	provid	ough reported	place provide revised estimates					
Ja.	Total Individuals: Total Families:	ii iiiaii	previo	ously reported [piease provide revised estimates					
5b.	If the total number of clients by type of prevention annually is different than previously reported please provide revised estimates:	Universal Prevention		Prevention	Selective/Indicated Prevention	Early Intervention				
	Total Individuals:									
	Total Families:									
	ing Programs to be Consolidated									
No.	Question	Yes	No							
1.	Is this a consolidation of two or more existing programs?	Ш	Ш	If yes, answer	question #2; If no, answer questions	for existing program above				
2.	Is there a change in the Priority Population or the Community Mental Health Needs?			If no, answer	question #3; If yes, complete Exh. F4					
3.	Will the consolidated programs continue to serve the same estimated number of individuals?			If yes, answer	question #4; If no, complete Exh. F4					
4.	Description of Previously Approved Programs to be consolidated. a) The names of Previously Approved programs to be consolidated. b) How the Previously approved programs will be consolidated. c) Provide the rationale for consolidation	olidate	d, ´	our descriptior	n:					

	Inneviation						
	Innovation						
No.	Question	Yes	No				
1.	Is this an existing program with no changes			If yes, complete Exh. E5; If no, answer question #2			
2.	Is there a change in the essential purpose?			If yes, complete Exh. F5; If no, answer question #3			
3.	Is there a change to the learning goals?			If yes, complete Exh. F5; If no, answer question #4			
4.	Are two existing programs being consolidated?			If yes, complete Exh. F5; If no, answer question #5			
5.	Is the funding requested ±15% of previously approved amount?			If yes, answer question #6 and complete Exh. E5; If no, complete Exh. F5			
6.	For all existing programs expanded or reduced, the County she for the changes.	ould des	cribe t	the proposed changes to the most recent approved INN program and the rationale			

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROGRAM

				Select one:
Co	ounty: <u>Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services</u>			
Pr	ogram Number/Name: 7/Integrated Full Service Partnersl	hip		WET
	- -			
Da	ate: <u>February 25, 2010</u>			<u> </u>
		CS	S an	d WET
Previ	ously Approved		<u> </u>	- 11-1
No.	Question	Yes	No	
1.	Is this an existing program with no changes?	\boxtimes		If yes, answer question #5 and complete Exh.E1 or E2 accordingly; If no, answer question #2
2.	Is there a change in the service population to be served?			If yes, complete Exh. F1; If no, answer question #3
3.	Is there a change in services?			If yes, complete Exh. F1; If no, answer question #4
4.	Is there a change in funding amount for the existing program?			If yes, answer question #4(a); If no, complete Exh. E1or E2 accordingly
a)	Is the change within ±15% of previously approved amount?		Ш	If yes, answer question #5 and complete Exh. E1or E2; If no, complete Exh. F1 and complete table below.
				FY 09/10 funding FY 10/11 funding Percent Change
	mental illnesses that result in significant social, emotional, or educ	5, and	al imp	sition Age Youth aged 16-25 who have severe emotional disturbances or severe pairments and/or who are at risk of homelessness or going into care. Services are the hand substance abuse disorders and who are homeless, or at risk of
Evict	ing Programs to be Consolidated			
No.	Question	Yes	No	
1.	Is this a consolidation of two or more existing programs?			If yes, answer question #2; If no, answer questions for existing program above
2.	Will all populations of existing program continue to be served?	$\overline{\Box}$	Ħ	If yes, answer question #3; If no, complete Exh. F1
3.	Will all services from existing program continue to be offered?			If yes, answer question #4 If no, complete Exh. F1
4.	Is the funding amount ± 15% of the sum of the previously approved amounts?			If yes, answer question #5 and complete Exh. E1 or E2 accordingly If no, complete Exh. F1
5.	Description of Previously Approved Programs to be consolidated. a) The names of Previously Approved programs to be consolidated. b) Describe the target population to be served and the services/s by the population to be served)., and c) Provide the rationale for consolidation.	ted,		your description: be provided (include targeted age, gender, race/ethnicity, and language spoken

	Prevention and Early Intervention									
No.	Question	Yes	No							
1.	Is this an existing program with no changes?			If yes, compl	ete Exh. E4; If no, answer question #2	2				
2.	Is there a change in the Priority Population or the Community Mental Health Needs?	☐ ☐ If yes		If yes, compl	If yes, completed Exh. F4; If no, answer question #3					
3.	Is the current funding requested greater than 15% of the previously approved amount?			If yes, compl	ete Exh. F4; If no, answer question #4	ı				
4.	Is the current funding requested greater than 35% less of the previously approved amount?	☐ ☐ If yes, comp			ete Exh. F4; If no, answer questions 5	5, 5a, and 5b				
5.	Describe the proposed changes to the Previously Approved Program and the rationale for those changes.									
5a.	If the total number of Individuals to be served annually is differen	nt than	previ	ously reported	please provide revised estimates					
	Total Individuals: Total Families:				<u>, </u>					
5b.	If the total number of clients by type of prevention annually is different than previously reported please provide revised estimates:	Universal Prevention		l Prevention	Selective/Indicated Prevention	Early Intervention				
	Total Individuals:									
	Total Families:									
Exist	ing Programs to be Consolidated									
No.	Question	Yes	No							
1.	Is this a consolidation of two or more existing programs?			If yes, answer	r question #2; If no, answer questions	for existing program above				
2.	Is there a change in the Priority Population or the Community Mental Health Needs?			If no, answer	question #3; If yes, complete Exh. F4					
3.	Will the consolidated programs continue to serve the same estimated number of individuals?			If yes, answer	r question #4; If no, complete Exh. F4					
4.	Description of Previously Approved Programs to be consolidated. a) The names of Previously Approved programs to be consolidated. b) How the Previously approved programs will be consolidated. c) Provide the rationale for consolidation	olidate	d,	our descriptior	า:					

No.	Question	Yes	NIA	
4 1. (1.)		103	No	
1. IS thi	nis an existing program with no changes			If yes, complete Exh. E5; If no, answer question #2
2. Is the	nere a change in the essential purpose?			If yes, complete Exh. F5; If no, answer question #3
3. Is the	nere a change to the learning goals?			If yes, complete Exh. F5; If no, answer question #4
4. Are t	two existing programs being consolidated?			If yes, complete Exh. F5; If no, answer question #5
	ne funding requested ±15% of previously approved punt?			If yes, answer question #6 and complete Exh. E5; If no, complete Exh. F5
	all existing programs expanded or reduced, the County shouthe changes.	ıld des	cribe t	he proposed changes to the most recent approved INN program and the rationale

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROGRAM	Select one:		
County: Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services	□ CSS		
Program Number/Name: Community Prevention Team	☐ WET ☑ PEI		
Date: February 25, 2010	□INN		
CSS and WET			
ravia ualu Ammrayad			

		CS	SS an	d WET							
	ously Approved		1								
No.	Question	Yes	No								
1.	Is this an existing program with no changes?			If yes, answer question #5 and complete Exh.E1 or E2 accordingly; If no, answer question #2							
2.	Is there a change in the service population to be served?			If yes, complete Exh. F1; If no, answer question #3							
3.	Is there a change in services?			If yes, complete Exh. F1; If no, answer question #4							
4.	Is there a change in funding amount for the existing program?			If yes, answer question #4(a); If no, complete Exh. E1or E2 accordingly							
a)	Is the change within ±15% of previously approved amount?			If yes, answer question #5 and complete Exh. E1or E2; If no, complete Exh. F1 and complete table below. FY 09/10 funding FY 10/11 funding Percent Change							
J.	For CSS programs: Describe the services/strategies and target population to be served. This should include information about targeted age, gender, race/ethnicity and language spoken of the population to be served. For WET programs: Describe objectives to be achieved such as days of training, number of scholarships awarded, major milestones to be reached.										
Exist	ing Programs to be Consolidated										
No.	Question	Yes	No								
1.	Is this a consolidation of two or more existing programs?			If yes, answer question #2; If no, answer questions for existing program above							
2.	Will all populations of existing program continue to be served?			If yes, answer question #3; If no, complete Exh. F1							
			_	If yes, answer question #4							
3.	Will all services from existing program continue to be offered?										
				If yes, answer question #4							

	Preven	tion a	nd E	arly Interven	tion					
No.	Question	Yes	No							
1.	Is this an existing program with no changes?			If yes, compl	ete Exh. E4; If no, answer question #2	2				
2.	Is there a change in the Priority Population or the Community Mental Health Needs?			If yes, compl	eted Exh. F4; If no, answer question #	/ 3				
3.	Is the current funding requested greater than 15% of the previously approved amount?			If yes, compl	ete Exh. F4; If no, answer question #4	1				
4.	Is the current funding requested greater than 35% less of the previously approved amount?				ete Exh. F4; If no, answer questions 5	i, 5a, and 5b				
5.	5. Describe the proposed changes to the Previously Approved Program and the rationale for those changes.									
5a.	If the total number of Individuals to be served annually is differen	nt than	previ	ously reported	please provide revised estimates					
	Total Individuals: Total Families:									
5b.	If the total number of clients by type of prevention annually is different than previously reported please provide revised estimates:	Universal Prevention			Selective/Indicated Prevention	Early Intervention				
	Total Individuals:									
	Total Families:									
Exist	ing Programs to be Consolidated									
No.	Question	Yes	No							
1.	Is this a consolidation of two or more existing programs?			If yes, answer	r question #2; If no, answer questions	for existing program above				
2.	Is there a change in the Priority Population or the Community Mental Health Needs?			If no, answer	question #3; If yes, complete Exh. F4					
3.	Will the consolidated programs continue to serve the same estimated number of individuals?			If yes, answer	r question #4; If no, complete Exh. F4					
4.	Description of Previously Approved Programs to be consolidated. a) The names of Previously Approved programs to be consolidated. b) How the Previously approved programs will be consolidated. c) Provide the rationale for consolidation	olidate	d,	our descriptior	า:					

No.	Question	Yes	NIA	
1 la thi	*****	163	No	
i. Is thi	is an existing program with no changes			If yes, complete Exh. E5; If no, answer question #2
2. Is the	ere a change in the essential purpose?			If yes, complete Exh. F5; If no, answer question #3
3. Is the	ere a change to the learning goals?			If yes, complete Exh. F5; If no, answer question #4
4. Are t	two existing programs being consolidated?			If yes, complete Exh. F5; If no, answer question #5
5. Is the	e funding requested ±15% of previously approved punt?			If yes, answer question #6 and complete Exh. E5; If no, complete Exh. F5
	all existing programs expanded or reduced, the County shound he changes.	ıld des	cribe t	the proposed changes to the most recent approved INN program and the rationale

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROGRAM

Co	ounty: Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services			Select one:
Pr	ogram Number/Name: <u>First Onset Team</u>			WET ⊠ PEI □ INN
County: Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services Program Number/Name: First Onset Team Date: February 25, 2010 Substance Pervicus Pervicus				
		CS	SS ar	nd WET
Previ	ously Approved			
	·	Yes	No	
				question #2
			Щ	
a)	Is the change within ±15% of previously approved amount?			and complete table below.
				FY 09/10 funding FY 10/11 funding Percent Change
5.	race/ethnicity and language spoken of the population to be serve	d.		
Exist	ing Programs to be Consolidated			
No.	Question	Yes	No	
1.	Is this a consolidation of two or more existing programs?			If yes, answer question #2; If no, answer questions for existing program above
2.	Will all populations of existing program continue to be served?			If yes, answer question #3; If no, complete Exh. F1
3.	Will all services from existing program continue to be offered?			If no, complete Exh. F1
	approved amounts?			If no, complete Exh. F1
5.	 a) The names of Previously Approved programs to be consolida b) Describe the target population to be served and the services/ by the population to be served)., and 	ited,		

	Preven	tion a	nd E	arly Interven	tion						
No.	Question	Yes	No								
1.	Is this an existing program with no changes?			If yes, comple	ete Exh. E4; If no, answer question #	2					
2.	Is there a change in the Priority Population or the Community Mental Health Needs?			If yes, comple	If yes, completed Exh. F4; If no, answer question #3						
3.	Is the current funding requested greater than 15% of the previously approved amount?			If yes, comple	ete Exh. F4; If no, answer question #	4					
4.	Is the current funding requested greater than 35% less of the previously approved amount?			, ,	ete Exh. F4; If no, answer questions	5, 5a, and 5b					
5.	Describe the proposed changes to the Previously Approved Pro-	gram a	and the	e rationale for t	hose changes.						
5 0	5a. If the total number of Individuals to be served annually is different than previously reported please provide revised estimates										
oa.	5a. If the total number of Individuals to be served annually is different than previously reported please provide revised estimates Total Individuals: Total Families:										
5b.	If the total number of clients by type of prevention annually is different than previously reported please provide revised estimates:	Uni	versa	I Prevention	Selective/Indicated Prevention	Early Intervention					
	Total Individuals:										
	Total Families:										
	ng Programs to be Consolidated										
No.	Question	Yes	No								
1.	Is this a consolidation of two or more existing programs?		Ш	If yes, answer	question #2; If no, answer questions	for existing program above					
2.	Is there a change in the Priority Population or the Community Mental Health Needs?			If no, answer	question #3; If yes, complete Exh. F4						
3.	Will the consolidated programs continue to serve the same estimated number of individuals?			If yes, answer	question #4; If no, complete Exh. F4						
4.	Description of Previously Approved Programs to be consolidated. a) The names of Previously Approved programs to be consolidated. b) How the Previously approved programs will be consolidated. c) Provide the rationale for consolidation	olidate	d,	your description	n:						

	Innovation									
No.	Question	Yes	No							
1.	Is this an existing program with no changes			If yes, complete Exh. E5; If no, answer question #2						
2.	Is there a change in the essential purpose?			If yes, complete Exh. F5; If no, answer question #3						
3.	Is there a change to the learning goals?			If yes, complete Exh. F5; If no, answer question #4						
4.	Are two existing programs being consolidated?			If yes, complete Exh. F5; If no, answer question #5						
5.	Is the funding requested ±15% of previously approved amount?			If yes, answer question #6 and complete Exh. E5; If no, complete Exh. F5						
6.	For all existing programs expanded or reduced, the County s for the changes.	hould des	cribe 1	the proposed changes to the most recent approved INN program and the rationale						

MHSA SUMMARY FUNDING REQUEST

County: Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services Date: 2/25/2010

			MHSA	Funding		
	css	WET	CFTN	PEI	INN	Local Prudent Reserve
A. FY 2010/11 Planning Estimates						
1. Published Planning Estimate	\$3,927,500			\$833,100		
2. Transfers						
3. Adjusted Planning Estimates	\$3,927,500					
3. FY 2010/11 Funding Request						
1. Requested Funding in FY 2010/11	\$4,887,344			\$833,100		
2. Requested Funding for CPP	\$0			\$0		
3. Net Available Unexpended Funds						
a. Unexpended FY 06/07 Funds						
b. Unexpended FY 2007/08 Funds ^{a/}	\$0					
c. Unexpended FY 2008/09 Funds	\$959,844			\$0		
d. Adjustment for FY 2009/2010	\$0			\$0		
e. Total Net Available Unexpended Funds	\$959,844	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
4. Total FY 2010/11 Funding Request	\$3,927,500	\$0	\$0	\$833,100	\$0	
C. Funds Requested for FY 2010/11	+-,,		**	4 000,100	7.	
1. Previously Approved Programs/Projects						
a. Unapproved FY 06/07 Planning Estimates						
b. Unapproved FY 07/08 Planning Estimates ^{a/}	\$0					
c. Unapproved FY 08/09 Planning Estimates	\$0			\$0		
d. Unapproved FY 09/10 Planning Estimates	\$0			\$0		
e. Unapproved FY10/11 Planning Estimates	\$3,927,500			\$833,100		
Sub-total	\$3,927,500	\$0		\$833,100	\$0	
f. Local Prudent Reserve						
2. New Programs/Projects						
a. Unapproved FY 06/07 Planning Estimates						
b. Unapproved FY 07/08 Planning Estimates ^{a/}						
c. Unapproved FY 08/09 Planning Estimates						
d. Unapproved FY 09/10 Planning Estimates						
e. Unapproved FY10/11 Planning Estimates						
Sub-total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
f. Local Prudent Reserve						
3. FY 2010/11 Total Allocation b/	\$3,927,500	\$0	\$0	\$833,100	\$0	

a/Only applies to CSS augmentation planning estimates released pursuant to DMH Info. Notice 07-21, as the FY 07/08 Planning Estimate for CSS is scheduled for reversion on June 30, 2010.

b/ Must equal line B.4. for each component.

FY 2010/11 EXHIBIT E1

CSS BUDGET SUMMARY

County: Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services Date: 2/25/2010

		CSS Programs	FY 10/11 Requested	Estimate	d MHSA Fund	s by Service C	ategory	Estima	ited MHSA Fu	ınds by Age	Group	
	No.	Name	MHSA Funding	Full Service Partnerships (FSP)	General System Development	Outreach and Engagement	MHSA Housing Program	Children and Youth	Transition Age Youth	Adult	Older Adult	
		Previously Approved Programs										
1	. 2	Urgent Services	\$387,000	\$19,350	\$367,650							
2	. 5	Older Adult Services	\$544,825	\$54,482		\$490,342					\$544,825	
3	. 6	Ethnic Outreach	\$255,876	\$51,175	\$127,938	\$76,763		\$63,969	\$63,969	\$63,969	\$63,969	
4	. 7	Integrated Full Service Partnership	\$3,104,919	\$3,104,919								
5	i.		\$0									
6	_		\$0									
7	-		\$0									
8			\$0									
9			\$0									
10			\$0									
11	-		\$0									
12			\$0									
13			\$0									
14	_		\$0									<u> </u>
15			\$0									
		tal: Programs ^{a/}	\$4,292,619	\$3,229,927	\$495,587	\$567,105	\$0	\$63,969	\$63,969	\$63,969	\$608,794	Percenta
17	. Plus u	up to 15% County Administration	\$322,672									;
18		up to 10% Operating Reserve	\$272,052									5.9
19.	Subto Reser	tal: Previously Approved Programs/County Admin./Operating ve	\$4,887,344									
		New Programs										
1			\$0									
2			\$0								-	
3			\$0									
4			\$0									
5	i.		\$0									Ī
6	. Subto	tal: Programs ^{a/}	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Percentag
7	. Plus u	up to 15% County Administration										#VALUE
		up to 10% Operating Reserve										#VALUE
		tal: New Programs/County Admin./Operating Reserve	\$0									I
10	. Total	MHSA Funds Requested for CSS	\$4,887,344									Ī

a/ Majority of funds must be directed towards FSPs (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 9, § 3620, subd. (c)). Percent of Funds directed towards FSPs=

75.20%

Additional funding sources for FSP requirement:

County must provide the majority of MHSA funding toward Full Service Partnerships (FSPs). If not, the county must list what additional funding sources and amount to be used for FSPs. In addition, the funding amounts must match the Annual Cost Report. Refer to DMH FAQs at http://www.dmh.ca.gov/Prop_63/ MHSA/Community_Services_and_Supports/docs/FSP_FAQs_04-17-09.pdf

CSS Majority of Funding to FSPs

					Other Fund	ang Sources					
	CSS	State General	Other State	Medi-Cal FFP	Medicare	Other	Re-	County	Other Funds	Total	Total %
		Fund	Funds			Federal Funds	alignment	Funds		 	
						Fullus					
Total Mental Health Expenditures:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	75%

FY 2010/11 EXHIBIT E4 PEI BUDGET SUMMARY

County: Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services 2/25/2009

		PEI Programs	EV 40/44	Estimated	MHSA Funds	by Type of	Estir	nated MHSA F	unds by Age G	roup	
	No.	Name	FY 10/11 Requested MHSA Funding	Universal Prevention	Selected/ Indicated Prevention	Early Intervention	Children and Youth	Transition Age Youth	Adult	Older Adult	
		Previously Approved Programs									
1.		Community Prevention Team	\$638,516								
2.		First Onset Team	\$93,787								
3.			\$0								
4.			\$0								
5.			\$0								
6.			\$0								
7.			\$0								
8.			\$0								
9.			\$0								
10.			\$0								
11.			\$0								
12.			\$0								
13.			\$0								
14.			\$0								
15.			\$0								
		otal: Programs	\$732,303	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 <u>P</u>	ercentag
17.	Plus	up to 15% County Administration	\$58,457								8
		up to 10% Operating Reserve	\$42,340								5.4
	Subto	otal: Previously Approved Programs/County									
19.	Admi	n./Operating Reserve	\$833,100								
		New Programs									
1.			\$0								
2.			\$0								
3.			\$0								
4.			\$0								
5.			\$0								
		otal: Programs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		Percentag
		up to 15% County Administration									#VALUE
8.	Plus	up to 10% Operating Reserve								#	#VALUE
		otal: New Programs/County Admin./Operating Reserve	\$0								
10.	Tota	I MHSA Funds Requested for PEI	\$833,100								

Note: Previously Approved Programs that propose changes to Key Community Health Needs, Priority Populations, and/or funding as described in the Information Notice are considered New.