



Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services

Mental Health Services Act Fiscal Year 2011/12 Annual Update to The Three-Year Program and Expenditure Plan

COUNTY CERTIFICATION

	Components Included:
County: Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services	☐ CSS ☐ WET ☐ CF ☐ TN ☐ PEI ☐ INN

County Mental Health Director	Project Lead
Name:Brad Luz, Ph.D.	Name:Patrick Larrigan
Telephone Number:530-822-7200	Telephone Number:530-822-7200
E-mail:bluz@co.sutter.ca.us Mailing Address: 1965 Live Oak Blvd., Suite A P.O. Box 1520 Yuba City, Ca 95992-1520	E-mail:plarrigan@co.sutter.ca.us

I hereby certify that I am the official responsible for the administration of county mental health services in and for said county and that the County has complied with all pertinent regulations, laws and statutes for this annual update/update, including all requirements for the Workforce Education and Training component. Mental Health Services Act funds are and will be used in compliance with Welfare and Institutions Code section 5891 and Title 9 of the California Code of Regulations section 3410, Non-Supplant.

This annual update has been developed with the participation of stakeholders, in accordance with sections 3300, 3310, subdivision (d), and 3315, subdivision (a). The draft FY 2011/12 annual update was circulated for 30 days to stakeholders for review and comment and a public hearing was held by the local mental health board of commission. All input has been considered with adjustments made, as appropriate.

The County agrees to participate in a local outcome evaluation for the PEI program(s) identified in the PEI component.²

The County Mental Health Director approves all Capital Facilities and Technological Needs (CFTN) projects.

The County has complied with all requirements for the Workforce Education and Training component and the Capital Facilities segment of the CFTN component.

The costs of any Capital Facilities renovation projects in this annual update are reasonable and consistent with what a prudent buyer would incur.

The information provided for each work plan is true and correct.

All documents in the attached FY 2011/12 annual update/update are true and correct.

Brad Luz Ph.D.		
Mental Health Director/Designee (PRINT)	Signature	Date

¹ Public Hearing only required for annual updates.

² Counties with fewer than 100,000 residents, per Department of Finance demographic data, are exempt from this requirement.

COMMUNITY PROGRAM PLANNING AND LOCAL REVIEW PROCESS

County: Sutter-Yuba Mental Health 30-day Public Comment period dates: January 3-February 2

Date: December 22, 2011 Date of Public Hearing (Annual update only): February 2, 2012

Instructions: Utilizing the following format please provide a brief description of the Community Program Planning and Local Review Processes that were conducted as part of this annual update/update per Title 9 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 3300 and 3315.

Counties may elect to attach the Mental Health Board meeting minutes in which the annual update was discussed if it provides additional information that augments the responses to these questions.

Community Program Planning

1. Briefly describe the Community Program Planning (CPP) Process for development of all components included in the FY 2011/12 annual update/update. Include the methods used to obtain stakeholder input.

Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services' Annual Update maintains programs identified in our Three Year Program and Expenditure Update Fiscal Year 2011-12. Our proposal maintains current programs at current service levels. This annual update was made available for public review for 30 days at Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services, County Libraries, and the County Administrators' Offices. In addition, this annual update was posted on our County website along with the original plan and the augmentation to the plan. Clients, family members, and stakeholders continue to be involved in the ongoing planning and implementation of the Plan. Participation occurs throughout the organization. A brief description of some of the ways in which participation occurs is below:

The Mental Health Board membership is composed of consumers, family members and community stakeholders.

Consumer employees attend the monthly MHSA and Mental Health Management-Supervisor meetings. They assist in creating systems navigation tools, and provide commentary on the process.

Consumers attend weekly MHSA FSP (Full Service Partnership) and non-FSP meetings and give input on system design issues and make recommendations for improvement.

2. Identify the stakeholder entities involved in the Community Program Planning (CPP) Process. (i.e., name, agency affiliation, population represented, age, race/ethnicity, client/family member affiliation, primary language spoken, etc.)

SYMHS Children's Mental Health	Mental Health Advisory Board
SYMHS Ethnic Services	Yuba County CPS
SYMHS Drug and Alcohol	Harmony Health Clinic
SYMHS Resource Services	Yuba County Probation
Sutter County Probation	Yuba County BOS
Sutter County K-12	Sutter County CPS
Parents of child consumers	SYMHS PES
SYMHS CSOC	SYMHS Adult Services
SYMHS Ethnic Outreach Services	Sutter County BOS
Domestic Violence Services provider	Yuba County CalWorks
Hmong American Association	Sutter County Employment Services

COMMUNITY PROGRAM PLANNING AND LOCAL REVIEW PROCESS

Yuba City Police Department	Yuba City Unified School District
Victor Treatment Services	Family members
Consumers	Parent Partner
Peer Advisors	Family Intervention and Community
	Support
Sutter County Sheriff	Salvation Army
Parent disabled Adult	Sutter-Yuba Friday Night Live
Marysville Joint Unified School District	Sutter County Office of Education
Betterday Provider	Foster Parents Association
Options for Change	First Steps
Yuba County Department of Social	Yuba County APS
Services	

3. If consolidating programs or eliminating a program/project, please include how the stakeholders were involved and had the opportunity to participate in the decision to eliminate the program/project.

n/a

Local Review Process

4. Describe methods used to circulate, for the purpose of public comment, the annual update or update.

The 30 day public comment process for review of the MHSA Plan, Fiscal Year (FY) 2011-12 Annual Update to the Three-Year Program and Expenditure Plan commenced on January 3, 2012. The notification of public hearing and the FY 2011-12 Annual Update was distributed to all Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services provider sites, and made available at the Sutter County and the Yuba County main libraries. This notification of public hearing and the update were available for public review at the Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services website, Network of Care website for Sutter County, and Network of Care website for Yuba County. The internet addresses are listed below:

http://www.co.sutter.ca.us http://www.Sutter.networkofcare.org http://www.Yuba.networkofcare.org

The Notice of Public Hearing was mailed to all leadership committee members and partner agencies; was posted at the Sutter County and Yuba County main libraries; was posted in the Appeal-Democrat newspaper; and was provided to anyone who requested a copy. Public comments could either be emailed to plarrigan@co.sutter.ca.us or mailed to MHSA Coordinator, Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services, at 1965 Live Oak Blvd., P.O. Box 1520, Yuba City, CA 95992 or presented in person. The public hearing before the local Mental Health Board was held on February 2, 2012. The public comment period ended at the conclusion of that meeting.

5. Include substantive comments received during the stakeholder review and public hearing, responses to those comments, and a description of any substantive changes made to the proposed annual update/update that was circulated. The County should indicate if no substantive comments were received.

2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE

EXHIBIT B

COMMUNITY PROGRAM PLANNING AND LOCAL REVIEW PROCESS

There were no substantive comments or changes made to the proposed update.

OVERALL IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS REPORT ON FY 09/10 ACTIVITIES

County: Sutter-Yuba

Date: 12/28/11

Instructions: Welfare and Institutions Code section 5848 specifies that DMH shall establish requirements for the content of the annual update and updates including reports on the achievement of performance outcomes for services. Provide an update on the overall progress of the County's implementation of the MHSA including CSS, WET, PEI, and INN components during FY 2009-10. NOTE: Implementation includes any activity conducted for the program post plan approval.

	CSS, WET, PEI, and INN
1.	Briefly report on how the implementation of the MHSA is progressing: whether implementation activities are generally proceeding as described in the County's approved Plan, any key differences, and any major challenges.
	Please check box if your county did NOT begin implementation of the following components in FY 09/10: ☑ WET ☐ PEI ☑ INN
	The implementation of the MHSA is proceeding as described in the County's approved plan
2.	During the initial Community Program Planning Process for CSS, major community issues were identified by age
	group. Please describe how MHSA funding is addressing those issues. (e.g., homelessness, incarceration, serving
	unserved or underserved groups, etc.)
I Th	o Ethnic Outroach & Engagoment Drogram consists of three targeted outroach teams that have been established for our

The Ethnic Outreach & Engagement Program consists of three targeted outreach teams that have been established for our major unserved or underserved ethnic bilingual/monolingual populations: Latino, Hmong and Asian Indian. This program allows for the reduction in disparities for the identified ethnic groups who are now underserved in our community; providing improved access through the provision of services in community settings actively utilized by these populations; improved integration with mental health and substance abuse services, improved integration between child and adult systems to bridge gaps in services; a culturally sensitive family-friendly approach to service planning and delivery. The expertise and services of the Ethnic Outreach & Engagement Team is utilized by all MHSA CSS programs as needed to provide culturally and linguistically competent services to the MHSA clients we serve.

- A successful strategy in reaching our underserved Hmong populations has been the opening of the Hmong Community Center. The significance of the Hmong Community Center is that it is located closer to the Hmong community which helps to eliminate accessibility barriers and helps reduce stigma. Hmong clients have expressed their appreciation in having the Hmong Center and Hmong staff to provide needed services.
- Successful strategies for the Latino population have been to offer services by at Del Norte Clinic(s), schools and home
 visits.
- Successful strategies for engagement of the Asian Indian population have been to conduct outreach events in the
 community where Asian Indian populations meet including at the "Sangrand" event at the Sikh Temple in Yuba City,
 the Punjabi American Heritage Society Festival at the Yuba City Fairgrounds in Yuba City, and providing
 advertisement in Punjabi on the local television Channel 19 to inform the Asian Indian public about counseling
 services.
- BEST (Bi-County Elders Services Team), the older adult mobile assessment team has been very successful in
 providing services to unserved or underserved older adults (60 years plus) with a serious mental illness who are, or
 are at risk of being homeless, who may also have a co-occurring disorder (mental health and substance abuse), and
 who are unwilling or unable to access traditional services. BEST has made a difference in the community, by forging
 relationships with our partners and with the underserved senior population.

The Integrated Full Service Partnership (FSP) is effectively serving unserved or underserved youth and adults. To date no older adults have been admitted to the FSP. A Spanish speaking Latina therapist was added part time to the FSP team in 2008, and is available to provide culturally and linguistically appropriate services to bi-lingual/monolingual Spanish speaking youth their families.

2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE EXHIBIT C

OVERALL IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS REPORT ON FY 09/10 ACTIVITIES

Sutter-Yuba Mental Health Services (SYMHS) has an active Cultural Competence Committee (CCC) that oversees ongoing cultural competence training, and monitors policies and procedures (P&Ps) to ensure that culturally and linguistically competent services are available to Sutter-Yuba residents, including the right for Limited English Proficient (LEP) clients to have access to free language assistance services, and how to access interpreters. SYMHS' staff members have participated in an agency wide seminar about "Creating Welcoming Based Culture in Organizations & Communities" by Bruce Anderson; A wellness and recovery training, "Personal and Program Transformation", presented by Mark Ragins, M.D. of the Village; "The African American Culture", presented by David Smith, M.D.; a client culture training entitled "The Consumer Experience"; two interpreter trainings, "How to Interpret" and "Working with Interpreters" presented by Emerita Banuelos, LCSW and Mai Vang, ASW; and Rajdeep Randhawa, ASW presented Youth Services staff with a presentation on the East Indian culture and therapeutic approaches.

u	_

1. Provide the following information on the total number of individuals served across all PEI programs (for prevention, use estimated #):

Age Group	# of Individuals	Race and Ethnicity	# of Individuals	Primary Language	# of Individuals	Culture	# of Individuals
Child and Youth (0-17)	1464	White		English		LGBTQ	
Transition Age Youth (16-25)	511	African American		Spanish		Veteran	
Adult (18-59)	511	Asian		Vietnamese		Other	
Older Adult (60+)	632	Pacific Islander		Cantonese			
		Native American		Mandarin			
		Hispanic		Tagalog			
		Multi		Cambodian			
		Unknown		Hmong			
		Other		Russian			
				Farsi			
				Arabic			
				Other			

2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE

EXHIBIT C

OVERALL IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS REPORT ON FY 09/10 ACTIVITIES

2. Provide the name of the PEI program selected for the loca	I evaluation ¹ . N/A
PEI Statewide Training, Technical As	sistance, and Capacity Building (TTACB)
Please provide the following information on the activities of Capacity Building (TTACB) funds.	
Activity Name; Brief Description; Estimated Funding Amount ²	Target Audience/Participants ³
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	

¹ Note that very small counties (population less than 100,000) are exempt from this requirement.

² Provide the name of the PEI TTACB activity, a brief description, and an estimated funding amount. The description shall also include how these funds support a program(s) that demonstrates the capacity to develop and provide statewide training, technical assistance and capacity building services and programs in partnership with local and community partners via subcontracts or other arrangements to assure the appropriate provision of community-based prevention and early intervention activities.

³ Provide the names of agencies and categories of local partners external to mental health included as participants (i.e., K-12 education, higher education, primary health care, law enforcement, older adult services, faith-based organizations, community-based organizations, ethnic/racial/cultural organizations, etc.) and county staff and partners included as participants.

County: <u>Sutter-Yuba</u>		No funding	No funding is being requested for this program.		
Program Number/Name:2	2/Urgent Services				
Date:12/11/2011					
	SECTION I: PROGRAM	// SPECIFIC PROGRESS RI	EPORT FOR FY 09/10		
This program did not exist	during FY 09/10.				
	luals served by this program du	ring EV 00/10, as applicable			
List the number of individ	idais served by this program du	ing F1 09/10, as applicable.			
Age Group	# of individuals FSP	# of individuals GSD	# of individuals OE	Cost per Client FSP Only	
ild and Youth		29			
Υ		250			
ults		745			
ler Adults		59			
tal		1,083			
Total Number of Individuals S	Served (all service categories) by the				
List the number of individ	luals served by this program du	ring FY 09/10, as applicable.			

Race and Ethnicity	# of Individuals	Primary Language	# of Individuals	Culture	# of Individuals
White	994	English		LGBTQ	
African American	29	Spanish		Veteran	
Asian	12	Vietnamese		Other	
Pacific Islander	2	Cantonese			
Native American	9	Mandarin			
Hispanic	129	Tagalog			
Multi	21	Cambodian			
Unknown		Hmong			
Other	16	Russian			
		Farsi			
		Arabic			
		Other			

C.	Answer	the follow	vina auestic	ons about this	program

1. Briefly report on the performance of the program during FY 09/10 including progress in providing services to unserved and underserved populations, with emphasis on reducing ethnic and cultural disparities.

The Urgent Services Program has been developed to serve all ages with distinct, age appropriate services for youth and for adults, who have acute mental health issues and are at greatest risk of harming themselves or others, are at risk of hospitalization or are at risk of incarceration in jails/juvenile justice institutions. We also work with the school-based counselors and other school personnel to identify children at greatest risk.

2. Describe any key differences and any major challenges with implementation of this program as a result of the fluctuation in MHSA funding and overall mental health funding.

SECTION II: PROGRAM DESCRIPTION FOR FY 11/12						
Is there a change in the service population to be served?	Yes No 🖂					
2) Is there a change in services?	Yes No 🖂					
3) a) Complete the table below:						
FY 10/11 funding FY 11/12 funding Percent Change 387,000 720,503 86%						
b) Is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the ± 25% of the previously approved amount, or ,	Yes No					
For Consolidated Programs, is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the ± 25% of the sum of the previously approved amounts?	Yes No No					
c) If you are requesting an exception to the ±25% criteria, please provide an explanation below.						
There are increased personnel costs and less than projected Medi-Cal revenue.						
NOTE: If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above questions (1-3), the program is co	onsidered Revised Previo	ously Approved. Please co	mplete an Exhibit F1.			
A. List the estimated number of individuals to be served by this program during FY 11/12, as applicable.						
Age Group # of individuals # of individ FSP GSD	uals # of	f individuals OE	Cost per Client FSP Only			
Child and Youth 25						
TAY 250						
Adults 750						
Older Adults 60						
Total 1,085						
Total Estimated Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Programme Total Estimated Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Programme Total Estimated Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Programme Total Estimated Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Programme Total Estimated Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Programme Total Estimated Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Programme Total Estimated Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Programme Total Estimated Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Programme Total Estimated Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Programme Total Estimated Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Programme Total Estimated Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Programme Total Estimated Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Programme Total Estimated Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Programme Total Estimated Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Programme Total Estimated Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Programme Total Estimated Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Individual Ser	ram during FY 11/12:					

В.	Answer the following questions about this program.
	 Provide a description of your previously approved program that includes the array of services being provided. Also provide information about targeted age group, gender, race/ethnicity and language spoken by the population to be served.
	The Urgent Services Program has been developed to serve all ages with distinct, age appropriate services for youth and for adults, who have acute mental health issues and are at greatest risk of harming themselves or others, are at risk of hospitalization or are at risk of incarceration in jails/juvenile justice institutions. We also work with the school-based counselors and other school personnel to identify children at greatest risk.
	 2. If this is a consolidation of two or more programs, provide the following information: a) Names of the programs being consolidated. b) How existing populations and services to achieve the same outcomes as the previously approved programs. c) The rationale for the decision to consolidate programs.
	3. If you are not requesting funding for this program during FY 11/12, explain how the County intends to sustain this program.

☐ No funding is being requested for this program.

County: Sutter-Yuba

Program Number/Name:5	/Older Adult Service	s					
Date:12/28/2011							
	SECTION I: PR	OGRAN	/ SPECIFIC PRO	GRESS RI	EPORT F	OR FY 09/10	
☐ This program did not exist	during FY 09/10.						
A. List the number of individu	uals served by this pro	gram du	ring FY 09/10, as ap	oplicable.			
Age Group	# of individual FSP	S	# of individ	duals	# c	of individuals OE	Cost per Client FSP Only
Child and Youth							
TAY							
Adults							
Older Adults						62	
Total							
Total Number of Individuals Se	erved (all service catego	ries) by th	ne Program during F	Y 09/10:			
B. List the number of individu	uals served by this pro	gram du	ring FY 09/10, as ap	pplicable.			
Race and Ethnicity	# of Individuals	Primar	y Language	# of Ind	ividuals	Culture	# of Individuals
White	59	English				LGBTQ	
African American		Spanisl	h			Veteran	
Asian		Vietnan	nese			Other	
Pacific Islander		Canton	ese				
Native American		Mandai	rin				
Hispanic		Tagalo	9				
Multi		Cambo	dian				
Unknown		Hmong					
Other	3	Russia	n				
		Farsi					

Arabic Other

C. Answer the following questions about this program.

1. Briefly report on the performance of the program during FY 09/10 including progress in providing services to unserved and underserved populations, with emphasis on reducing ethnic and cultural disparities.

The Older Adult Services Program has been developed to serve older adults aged 60 and over who are physically or geographically isolated and who have psychiatric disabilities. Further priority is given to those whose cultural identity places them in underserved populations within our community.

2. Describe any key differences and any major challenges with implementation of this program as a result of the fluctuation in MHSA funding and overall mental health funding.

SECTION II: PROGRAM DESCRIPTION FOR FY 11/12							
Is there a change in the service population to be served?	Yes	No 🛛					
2) Is there a change in services?	Yes	No 🗵					
3) a) Complete the table below:							
FY 10/11 funding FY 11/12 funding Percent Change 544,825 42,331 86% b) Is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the ± 25% of the previously	Yes ⊠	No □					
approved amount, or ,		NO [
For Consolidated Programs, is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the ± 25% of the sum of the previously approved amounts? Yes No No							
c) If you are requesting an exception to the ±25% criteria, please provide an explanation below.							
Operating Revenues are projected to decrease significantly.	1						
NOTE: If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above questions (1-3), the program is	considered Revi	sed Previously Approved. Plea	ase complete an Exhibit F1.				
A. List the estimated number of individuals to be served by this program during FY 11/12, as applicable.							
Age Group # of individuals # of individuals GSD		# of individuals OE	Cost per Client FSP Only				
Child and Youth 25			•				
TAY 250							
Adults 750							
Older Adults 60		60					
Total 1,085	5	60	,				
Total Estimated Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Pro	ogram during FY	11/12:					

В.	Answer the following questions about this program.
	1. Provide a description of your previously approved program that includes the array of services being provided. Also provide information about targeted age group, gender, race/ethnicity and language spoken by the population to be served.
	The Older Adult Services Program has been developed to serve older adults aged 60 and over who are physically or geographically isolated and who have psychiatric disabilities. Further priority is given to those whose cultural identity places them in underserved populations within our community.
	 2. If this is a consolidation of two or more programs, provide the following information: a) Names of the programs being consolidated. b) How existing populations and services to achieve the same outcomes as the previously approved programs. c) The rationale for the decision to consolidate programs.
	3. If you are not requesting funding for this program during FY 11/12, explain how the County intends to sustain this program.

County: <u>Sutter-Yuba</u>		No funding	is being requested for this p	orogram.
Program Number/Name:	6/Ethnic Outreach			
Date:12/28/2011				
	SECTION I: PROGRAM	I SPECIFIC PROGRESS R	EPORT FOR FY 09/10	
	duals served by this program du			
Age Group	# of individuals FSP	# of individuals GSD	# of individuals OE	Cost per Client FSP Only
hild and Youth			36	
ΑΥ			40	
lults			176	
der Adults			30	
otal			282	
Total Number of Individuals \$	Served (all service categories) by the	ne Program during FY 09/10:		
B. List the number of individual	duals served by this program du	ring FY 09/10, as applicable.		

Race and Ethnicity	# of Individuals	Primary Language	# of Individuals	Culture	# of Individuals
White	186	English		LGBTQ	
African American	0	Spanish		Veteran	
Asian	88	Vietnamese		Other	
Pacific Islander		Cantonese			
Native American	1	Mandarin			
Hispanic		Tagalog			
Multi	1	Cambodian			
Unknown		Hmong			
Other	6	Russian			
		Farsi			
		Arabic			
		Other			

C. Answer the following questions about this program.

1. Briefly report on the performance of the program during FY 09/10 including progress in providing services to unserved and underserved populations, with emphasis on reducing ethnic and cultural disparities.

The Ethnic Outreach Program targets our major underserved populations: Latino, Hmong and Punjabi speaking Asian Indians. Each program is intergenerational, serving children, youth, transition-aged youth, adults and older adults within each cultural group. Within these broader categories, females are specifically targeted as they are more likely to be underserved in our system, and specifically within these cultures.

2. Describe any key differences and any major challenges with implementation of this program as a result of the fluctuation in MHSA funding and overall mental health funding.

	SECTION II	: PROGRAM D	ESCRIPTION	N FOR FY 11/12			
1) Is there a change in the serv	ice population to be served?		Yes	No 🛚			
2) Is there a change in services	9?		Yes	No 🗵			
3) a) Complete the table below	<i>y</i> :						
225.876 435	11/12 funding Percent C 231 92% equested outside the ± 25% of		Yes ⊠	No 🗆			
± 25% of the sum of the p	For Consolidated Programs, is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the ± 25% of the sum of the previously approved amounts? C) If you are requesting an exception to the ±25% criteria, please provide an explanation below.						
Projected Medi-Cal revenue is p		•					
NOTE: If you answered <u>YES</u> to	any of the above questions (1-	3), the program is o	considered Revi	sed Previously Approved. Plea	se complete an Exhibit F1.		
A. List the estimated number of individuals to be served by this program during FY 11/12, as applicable. Age Group # of individuals # of individuals # of individuals Cost per Client							
Child and Varith	FSP	GSD		OE OF	FSP Only		
Child and Youth TAY				35 40			
Adults			+	170			
Older Adults				30			
Total				275			
	lividuals Served (all service cat	egories) by the Pro	gram during FY				
	,		<u> </u>				

В.	Answer the following questions about this program.
	 Provide a description of your previously approved program that includes the array of services being provided. Also provide information about targeted age group, gender, race/ethnicity and language spoken by the population to be served.
	The Ethnic Outreach Program targets our major underserved populations: Latino, Hmong and Punjabi speaking Asian Indians. Each program is intergenerational, serving children, youth, transition-aged youth, adults and older adults within each cultural group. Within these broader categories, females are specifically targeted as they are more likely to be underserved in our system, and specifically within these cultures.
	 2. If this is a consolidation of two or more programs, provide the following information: a) Names of the programs being consolidated. b) How existing populations and services to achieve the same outcomes as the previously approved programs. c) The rationale for the decision to consolidate programs.
	3. If you are not requesting funding for this program during FY 11/12, explain how the County intends to sustain this program.

County: Sutter-Yuba			No funding is being requested for this program.			
Program Number/Name:7	/Integrated Full Serv	ice Partnership				
Date:12/28/2011						
	SECTION I: PR	OGRAM SPECIFIC P	ROGRESS REPORT	FOR FY 09/10		
☐ This program did not exist	during FY 09/10.					
A. List the number of individ	uals served by this pro	ogram during FY 09/10, a	s applicable.			
Age Group	# of individual FSP		of individuals # of individuals GSD OE		Cost per Client FSP Only	
Child and Youth	77					
TAY	51					
Adults	36					
Older Adults	3					
Total	167					
Total Number of Individuals S	erved (all service catego	ories) by the Program durin	g FY 09/10:			
B. List the number of individ	uals served by this pro	ogram during FY 09/10, a	s applicable.			
Race and Ethnicity	# of Individuals	Primary Language	# of Individuals	Culture	# of Individuals	
White	145	English		LGBTQ		
African American	8	Snanish		Veteran		

Other

Vietnamese

Cantonese

Cambodian

Mandarin

Tagalog

Hmong

Russian Farsi Arabic Other

8

4

Asian

Hispanic

Unknown

Multi

Other

Pacific Islander

Native American

C. Answer the following questions about this program.

1. Briefly report on the performance of the program during FY 09/10 including progress in providing services to unserved and underserved populations, with emphasis on reducing ethnic and cultural disparities.

Services are available to serve children ages 0-5, youth aged 6-15, and Transition Age Youth aged 16-25 who have severe emotional disturbances or severe mental illnesses that result in significant social, emotional, or educational impairments and/or who are at risk of homelessness or going into care. Services are also available for adults and older adults who have co-occurring mental health and substance abuse disorders and who are homeless, or at risk of homelessness.

2. Describe any key differences and any major challenges with implementation of this program as a result of the fluctuation in MHSA funding and overall mental health funding.

SECTION II: PROGRAM D	ESCRIPTION	N FOR FY 11/12					
1) Is there a change in the service population to be served?	Yes	No 🛚					
2) Is there a change in services?	Yes 🗌	No 🗵					
3) a) Complete the table below:							
FY 10/11 funding FY 11/12 funding Percent Change 3,104,919 3,889,792 25% b) Is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the ± 25% of the previously approved amount, or,	Yes 🗌	No 🖂					
 For Consolidated Programs, is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the ± 25% of the sum of the previously approved amounts? c) If you are requesting an exception to the ±25% criteria, please provide an explanation below. 	c) If you are requesting an exception to the ±25% criteria, please provide an						
Reduction in Medi-Cal Revenue and increase in operating costs.							
NOTE: If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above questions (1-3), the program is	considered Revi	ised Previously Approved. Plea	ase complete an Exhibit F1.				
A. List the estimated number of individuals to be served by this program during FY 11/12, as applicable. Age Group # of individuals # of individuals # of individuals Cost per Client							
FSP GSE Child and Youth 75)	OE 35	FSP Only				
TAY 50		40					
Adults 35		170					
Older Adults 3		30					
Total 163		275					
Total Estimated Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Pr	ogram during FY						

	3 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1.	Provide a description of your previously approved program that includes the array of services being provided. Also provide information about targeted age group, gender, race/ethnicity and language spoken by the population to be served.

Services are available to serve children ages 0-5, youth aged 6-15, and Transition Age Youth aged 16-25 who have severe emotional disturbances or severe mental illnesses that result in significant social, emotional, or educational impairments and/or who are at risk of homelessness or going into care. Services are also available for adults and older adults who have co-occurring mental health and substance abuse disorders and who are homeless, or at risk of homelessness.

- 2. If this is a consolidation of two or more programs, provide the following information:
 - a) Names of the programs being consolidated.

B. Answer the following questions about this program.

- b) How existing populations and services to achieve the same outcomes as the previously approved programs.
- c) The rationale for the decision to consolidate programs.
- 3. If you are not requesting funding for this program during FY 11/12, explain how the County intends to sustain this program.

County:Sutter-Yuba	
Program Number/Name: <u>Community Prevention Team</u> evaluation	☐ Please check box if this program was selected for the local
Date:12/28/11	
SECTION I: PROGRAM SPECIF	FIC PROGRESS REPORT FOR FY 09/10
☐ Please check box if your county did not begin implementation of thi implementation and then skip to Section II: Program Description for FY	is PEI program in FY 09/10. Please provide an explanation for delays in 11/12.

A. List the number of individuals served by this program during FY 09/10, as applicable. (NOTE: For prevention, use an estimated number.)

Age Group	# of Individuals	Race and Ethnicity	# of Individuals	Primary Language	# of Individuals	Culture	# of Individuals
Child and Youth (0-17)		White		English		LGBTQ	
Transition Age Youth (16-25)		African American		Spanish		Veteran	
Adult (18-59)		Asian		Vietnamese		Other	
Older Adult (60+)		Pacific Islander		Cantonese			
		Native American		Mandarin			
		Hispanic		Tagalog			
		Multi		Cambodian			
		Unknown		Hmong			
		Other		Russian			
				Farsi			
				Arabic			
				Other			

2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE **EXHIBIT D3**

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROGRAM **Prevention and Early Intervention**

B. Please complete the following questions about this program during FY	Y 09/10.
---	----------

1.	Briefly report on the performance of the program during FY 09/10, including progress in providing services to unserved and underserved populations, with
	emphasis on reducing ethnic and cultural disparities. Please describe any key differences and major challenges with implementation of this program, if
	applicable.

- 2. Please provide any available data on program outcomes. If this program was selected for the local evaluation of a PEI program¹, please provide an analysis of results or progress in the local evaluation. The analysis shall include, but not be limited to:
 - a) A summary of available information about person/family-level and program/system-level outcomes from the PEI program
 - b) Data collected, including the number of program participants under each priority population served by age, gender, race, ethnicity, and primary language spoken
 - c) The method(s) used in this evaluation, including methods to ensure that evaluation results reflect the perspectives of diverse participants
 - d) Specific program strategies implemented to ensure appropriateness for diverse participants
 - e) Changes and modifications made during the program's implementation, if any, and the reason(s) for the changes

¹ Note that very small counties (population less than 100,000) are exempt from this requirement

SECTION II: PROGRAM DESCR	RIPTION FOR	R FY 11/12
1. Is there a change in the Priority Population or the Community Mental Health Needs?	Yes	No ⊠
2. Is there a change in the type of PEI activities to be provided?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌
3. a) Complete the table below:		
FY 10/11 funding FY 11/12 funding Percent Change 638516 1,162,560 82		
b) Is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the ± 25% of the previously approved amount, or ,	Yes 🛚	No 🗆
For Consolidated Programs, is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the \pm 25% of the sum of the previously approved amounts?	Yes 🗌	No 🗆
c) If you are requesting an exception to the ±25% criteria, please provide an explanation below.		
Projected Increase in annual operating costs.		
NOTE: If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above questions (1-3), the program is consider	ed Revised Pre	eviously Approved. Complete Exhibit F3.
A. Answer the following questions about this program.		
1. Please include a description of any additional proposed changes to this PEI program, if	applicable.	
If this is a consolidation of two or more previously approved programs, please provide the analysis of the programs being consolidated by the rationale for consolidation consolidated program will aim to achieve similar outdoes Need(s).	_	

B. Provide the proposed number of individuals and families to be served by prevention and early intervention in FY 11/12.						
	Prevention	Early Intervention				
Total Individuals:						
Total Families:						

County:Sutter-Yuba	
Program Number/Name: First Onset	☐ Please check box if this program was selected for the local evaluation
Date:12/28/11	
SECTION I: PROGRAM SE	PECIFIC PROGRESS REPORT FOR FY 09/10
☐ Please check box if your county did not begin implementation implementation and then skip to Section II: Program Description f	n of this PEI program in FY 09/10. Please provide an explanation for delays in for FY 11/12.

A. List the number of individuals served by this program during FY 09/10, as applicable. (NOTE: For prevention, use an estimated number.)

Age Group	# of Individuals	Race and Ethnicity	# of Individuals	Primary Language	# of Individuals	Culture	# of Individuals
Child and Youth (0-17)		White		English		LGBTQ	
Transition Age Youth (16-25)		African American		Spanish		Veteran	
Adult (18-59)		Asian		Vietnamese		Other	
Older Adult (60+)		Pacific Islander		Cantonese			
		Native American		Mandarin			
		Hispanic		Tagalog			
		Multi		Cambodian			
		Unknown		Hmong			
		Other		Russian			
				Farsi			
				Arabic			
				Other			

2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE **EXHIBIT D3**

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROGRAM **Prevention and Early Intervention**

B.	Please com	plete the	following	questions	about this	program	during FY	09/10.

1.	Briefly report on the performance of the program during FY 09/10, including progress in providing services to unserved and underserved populations, with
	emphasis on reducing ethnic and cultural disparities. Please describe any key differences and major challenges with implementation of this program, if
	applicable.

- 2. Please provide any available data on program outcomes. If this program was selected for the local evaluation of a PEI program¹, please provide an analysis of results or progress in the local evaluation. The analysis shall include, but not be limited to:
 - a) A summary of available information about person/family-level and program/system-level outcomes from the PEI program
 - b) Data collected, including the number of program participants under each priority population served by age, gender, race, ethnicity, and primary language spoken
 - c) The method(s) used in this evaluation, including methods to ensure that evaluation results reflect the perspectives of diverse participants
 - d) Specific program strategies implemented to ensure appropriateness for diverse participants
 - e) Changes and modifications made during the program's implementation, if any, and the reason(s) for the changes

¹ Note that very small counties (population less than 100,000) are exempt from this requirement

SECTION II: PROGRAM DESCR	RIPTION FOR	R FY 11/12	
1. Is there a change in the Priority Population or the Community Mental Health Needs?	Yes	No ⊠	
2. Is there a change in the type of PEI activities to be provided?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	
3. a) Complete the table below:			
FY 10/11 funding FY 11/12 funding Percent Change 93,787 0 -100			
b) Is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the ± 25% of the previously approved amount, or ,	Yes ⊠	No 🗌	
For Consolidated Programs, is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the ± 25% of the sum of the previously approved amounts?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	
c) If you are requesting an exception to the ±25% criteria, please provide an explanation below.			
First onset has no personnel costs and is a funding source for people with first ons	et of mental illi	ness	
NOTE: If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above questions (1-3), the program is consider	red Revised Pre	eviously Approved. Complete Exhibit F3.	
A. Answer the following questions about this program.			
Please include a description of any additional proposed changes to this PEI program, if	applicable.		
,			
If this is a consolidation of two or more previously approved programs, please provide to a. Names of the programs being consolidated b. The rationale for consolidation c. Description of how the newly consolidated program will aim to achieve similar out.	· ·		th
Need(s)			

B. Provide the proposed number of individuals and families to be served by prevention and early intervention in FY 11/12.						
	Prevention	Early Intervention				
Total Individuals:						
Total Families:						

2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE MHSA SUMMARY FUNDING REQUEST EXHIBIT E

County: Sutter-Yuba Date: 12/28/2011

			MHSA	Funding		
	css	WET	CFTN	PEI	INN	Local Prudent Reserve
A. FY 2011/12 Component Allocations						
Published Component Allocation	\$3,714,000			\$713,700	\$232,900	
2. Transfer from FY 11/12 ^{a/}						
Adjusted Component Allocation	\$3,714,000					
B. FY 2011/12 Funding Request						
1. Requested Funding in FY 2011/12	\$5,145,775			\$1,162,560		
Requested Funding for CPP						
Net Available Unexpended Funds a. Unexpended Funds from FY 09/10 Annual MHSA Revenue and Expenditure Report b. Amount of Unexpended Funds from FY 09/10 spent in FY 10/11 (adjustment)	\$77,157			\$665,069		
c. Unexpended Funds from FY 10/11						
d. Total Net Available Unexpended Funds	\$77,157	\$0		\$665,069	\$0	
4. Total FY 2011/12 Funding Request	\$5,068,618	\$0	\$0	\$497,491	\$0	
C. Funds Requested for FY 2011/12						
Unapproved FY 06/07 Component Allocations						
2. Unapproved FY 07/08 Component Allocations						
3. Unapproved FY 08/09 Component Allocations						
4. Unapproved FY 09/10 Component Allocations b/						
5. Unapproved FY 10/11 Component Allocations b/	\$3,714,000			\$713,700		
6. Unapproved FY 11/12 Component Allocations ^{b/}						
Sub-total	\$3,714,000	\$0	\$0	\$713,700	\$0	
7. Access Local Prudent Reserve						
8. FY 2011/12 Total Allocation ^{c/}	\$3,714,000	\$0	\$0	\$713,700	\$0	

NOTE:

- 1. Line 3.a and 3.b. should be completed if annual update is being submitted prior to the end of FY 10/11.
- $2. \ \ Line\ 3.a.,\ 3.b.,\ 3.c.,\ and\ 3.d.\ should\ be\ completed\ if\ annual\ update\ is\ being\ submitted\ after\ the\ end\ of\ FY\ 10/11.$
- 3. Line 3.a. should be consistent with the amount listed on the FY 09/10 Annual MHSA Revenue and Expenditure report, Enclosure 9, Total Unexpended Funds line.
- 4. Line 3.c. should be consistent with the amount listed on the FY 10/11 Annual MHSA Revenue and Expenditure report, Total Unexpended Funds line.
- 5. Line 3.c. will be verified upon receipt of the FY 10/11 Annual MHSA Revenue and Expenditure report and adjustments will be made as necessary.

^{a/}Per Welfare and Institutions Code Section 5892(b), in any year after 2007-08, Counties may use a portion of their CSS funds for WET, CFTN, and the Local Prudent Reserve in an amount not to exceed 20% of the average amount of funds allocated to that County for the previous five years. The 20% limits are included in Enclosure 8.

b/For WET and/or CFTN components, enter amount of unapproved funds being requested for use from any of the years a transfer from CSS was made.

c/ Must equal line B.4. for each component.

FY 2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE

CSS FUNDING REQUEST

EXHIBIT E1

County: Sutter-Yuba 12/28/2011

	CSS Programs	FY 11/12					Estimated MHSA Funds by Age Group				
No.	Name	Requested MHSA Funding	Full Service Partnerships (FSP)	General System Development	Outreach and Engagement	MHSA Housing Program	Children and Youth	Transition Age Youth	Adult	Older Adult	
	Previously Approved Programs										
1.	Urgent Services	\$720,503	\$36,025	\$684,478							
2.	Older Adult Services	\$42,331	\$4,233		\$38,098						
3.	Ethnic Outreach	\$435,231	\$87,046	\$217,616	\$130,569		\$108,808	\$108,808	\$108,808	\$108,808	
4.	Integrated Full Service Partnership	\$3,889,792	\$3,889,792								
5.		\$0									
6.		\$0									
7.		\$0									
8.		\$0									
9.		\$0									
10.		\$0									
11.		\$0									
12.		\$0									
13.		\$0									
14.		\$0									
15.		\$0									
16. Subtota	al: Programs ^{a/}	\$5,087,857	\$4,017,096	\$902,093	\$168,667	\$0	\$108,808	\$108,808	\$108,808	\$108,808	Percenta
	to 15% Indirect Administrative Costs	\$57,918									
18. Plus up	o to 10% Operating Reserve										#VALU
19. Subtota	al: Programs/Indirect Admin./Operating Reserve	\$5,145,775									
New P	Programs/Revised Previously Approved Programs										
1.		\$0									
2.		\$0	-						·	·	
3.		\$0									
4.		\$0									
5.		\$0									
6. Subtota	al: Programs ^{a/}	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Percenta
7. Plus up	to 15% Indirect Administrative Costs										#VALU
	to 10% Operating Reserve										#VALU
	al: Programs/Indirect Admin./Operating Reserve	\$0									
10. Total I	MHSA Funds Requested for CSS	\$5,145,775									

a/ Majority of funds must be directed towards FSPs (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 9, § 3620, subd. (c)). Percent of Funds directed towards FSPs= Additional funding sources for FSP requirement:

79.00%

County must provide the majority of MHSA funding toward Full Service Partnerships (FSPs). If not, the county must list what additional funding sources and amount to be used for FSPs. [In addition, the funding amounts must match the Annual Cost Report.] Refer to DMH FAQs at http://www.dmh.ca.gov/Prop_63/ MHSA/Community_Services_and_Supports/docs/FSP_FAQs_04-17-09.pdf

CSS Majority of Funding to FSPs Other Funding Sources

		enter i unumig eeuree									
	CSS	State General Fund	Other State Funds	Medi-Cal FFP	Medicare	Other Federal	Re- alignment	County Funds	Other Funds	Total	Total %
							•				
						Funds					
Total Mental Health Expenditures:	#######	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,017,096	79%

FY 2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE EXHIBIT E3 PEI FUNDING REQUEST

 County:
 Sutter-Yuba
 Date:
 12/28/2011

PEI Programs		FY 11/12 Requested		HSA Funds by stervention	Estimated MHSA Funds by Age Group					
	No.	Name	MHSA Funding	Prevention	Early Intervention	Children and Youth	Transition Age Youth	Adult	Older Adult	
		Previously Approved Programs								
1.		Community Prevention	\$1,162,560							
2.		First Onset	\$0							
3.			\$0							
4.			\$0							
5.			\$0							
6.			\$0							
7.			\$0							
8.			\$0							
9.			\$0							
10.			\$0							
11.			\$0							
12.			\$0							
13.			\$0							
14.			\$0							
15.			\$0							
16.	Subto	tal: Programs*	\$1,162,560	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Percentage
17.	Plus u	up to 15% Indirect Administrative Costs								#VALUE!
18.	Plus u	up to 10% Operating Reserve								#VALUE!
19.	Subto	stal: Programs/Indirect Admin./Operating Reserve	\$1,162,560							
	Nev	//Revised Previously Approved Programs								
1.			\$0							
2.			\$0							
3.			\$0							
4.			\$0							
5.			\$0							
6.	Subto	otal: Programs*	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Percentage
7.	Plus t	up to 15% Indirect Administrative Costs								#VALUE!
		up to 10% Operating Reserve								#VALUE!
9.	Subto	tal: Programs/Indirect Admin./Operating Reserve	\$0							
10.	Total	MHSA Funds Requested for PEI	\$1,162,560							1

^{*}Majority of funds must be directed towards individuals under age 25. Percent of funds directed towards those under 25 yea

0%

Note: Previously Approved Programs that propose changes to Key Community Health Needs, Priority Populations, Activities, and/or funding as described in the Information Notice are considered New.

2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE EXHIBIT E5 CFTN FUNDING REQUEST

	Capital Facilities and Technological Needs Work Plans/Projects				Funding Requested		
	No.	Name	New (N) Existing (E)	Required MHSA Funding	Capital Facilities	Technological Needs	
1.		Capital Facilities	E	0	\$197,550		Ī
2.		Technology Needs	E	0		\$1,567,750	
3.							
4.							<u> </u>
5.							
6.]
7.							1
8.							<u> </u>
9.							<u> </u>
10.							1
11.							1
12.							<u> </u>
13.							1
14.							-
15.							-
16.							-
17.							-
18.							1
19.							1
20.							+
21. 22.							+
23.							1
23.							†
25.							Percentage
	Subtoto	I al: Work Plans/Projects	<u> </u>	\$0	\$197,550	\$1,567,750	
		to 15% Indirect Administrative Costs		Φ0	φ197,330	φ1,307,730	#VALU
		to 10% Operating Reserve					#VALU
		HSA Funds Requested		\$0			"VALO