

Contents

Chapter	Page
Executive Summary.....	ES-1
ES-1 Introduction.....	ES-1
ES-2 Purpose and Need/Objectives	ES-2
ES-3 Study Area	ES-3
ES-4 Proposed Action and Alternatives	ES-3
ES-4.1 Independent Implementation	ES-4
ES-5 Areas of Controversy	ES-4
ES-6 Issues To Be Resolved	ES-4
ES-7 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures	ES-4
ES-7.2 Summary of Impacts	ES-5
1. Purpose and Need/Objectives.....	1-1
1.1 Introduction.....	1-1
1.1.1 Summary of Key Issues	1-1
1.1.2 Document Overview	1-3
1.2 Habitat Conservation Planning in the Natomas Basin	1-3
1.2.1 Recent Habitat Conservation Planning Efforts	1-4
1.2.2. Court Opinion.....	1-10
1.3 Requirements for Environmental Review.....	1-11
1.3.1 Lead Agencies	1-11
1.3.2 California Department of Fish and Game.....	1-11
1.4 Purpose and Need/Objectives	1-12
1.4.1 Purpose and Need (USFWS).....	1-12
1.4.2 Objectives (City of Sacramento and Sutter County).....	1-13
1.4.3 Decisions to be Made	1-15
1.4.4 Other Discretionary Actions	1-15
1.4.5 Independent Implementation.....	1-16
1.5 Regulatory Framework.....	1-16
1.5.1 Endangered Species Act	1-16
1.5.2 National Environmental Policy Act.....	1-18
1.5.3 California Environmental Quality Act	1-18
1.5.4 California Endangered Species Act	1-18
1.5.5 Migratory Bird Treaty Act.....	1-19
1.5.6 Native Plant Protection Act	1-19
1.5.7 Local Plans and Ordinances.....	1-20
1.6 Consultation and Coordination/Issues of Controversy	1-20
1.6.1 Scoping.....	1-22
1.6.2 Agency Coordination.....	1-23

2. Proposed Action and Alternatives	2-1
2.1 Plan Participants	2-2
2.2 Study Area and Permit Areas	2-3
2.2.1 City of Sacramento.....	2-3
2.2.2 Sutter County.....	2-3
2.2.3 Natomas Basin Conservancy.....	2-6
2.2.4 Reclamation District No. 1000.....	2-8
2.2.5 Natomas Mutual	2-8
2.3 Covered Activities	2-8
2.3.1 Land Use Agencies	2-11
2.3.2 Natomas Basin Conservancy.....	2-12
2.3.3 Water Agencies.....	2-12
2.3.4 Activities not Covered by the Incidental Take Permits.....	2-13
2.4 Description of Proposed Action.....	2-13
2.4.1 Habitat Conservation Plan Purpose.....	2-14
2.4.2 Permits and Approvals	2-14
2.4.3 Covered Species	2-15
2.4.4 Biological Goals and Objectives.....	2-15
2.4.5 Habitat Reserve Development Strategy.....	2-17
2.4.6 Conservation Measures.....	2-25
2.4.7 Monitoring and Adaptive Management.....	2-44
2.4.8 Funding	2-46
2.4.9 Unforeseen Circumstances/ “No Surprises”	2-47
2.4.10 Overall Program Review and Midpoint Review.....	2-47
2.5 Approach to Developing Alternatives.....	2-48
2.6 EIR/EIS Alternatives	2-49
2.6.1 Alternative 1: Increased Mitigation.....	2-50
2.6.2 Alternative 2: Habitat-based Mitigation	2-50
2.6.3 Alternative 3: Reserve Zones.....	2-51
2.6.4 Alternative 4: Reduced Potential for Incidental Take.....	2-52
2.6.5 Alternative 5: No Action Alternative	2-53
2.7 Alternatives Eliminated from Further Consideration	2-54
2.7.1 Change in Permit Duration	2-54
2.7.2 Prohibiting Out-of-Basin Mitigation	2-54
2.7.3 Sacramento County Participation.....	2-55
2.7.4 Development Cap	2-56
2.7.5 Increased Mitigation Fee.....	2-56
2.7.6 Acquire Active Habitat Only.....	2-57
2.7.7 Fixed Reserves	2-57
2.8 Environmentally Preferable/Superior Alternative.....	2-58
3. Affected Environment.....	3-1
3.1 Introduction	3-1
3.2 Geology and Soils	3-3
3.2.1 Area Geology	3-3
3.2.2 Area Soil Types.....	3-3
3.2.3 Geologic and Soil Hazards	3-4

3.3 Water Resources	3-5
3.3.1 Flooding and Drainage	3-5
3.3.2 Water Quality	3-7
3.3.3 Water Supply	3-8
3.4 Biological Resources.....	3-10
3.4.1 Land Use and Habitats in the Natomas Basin.....	3-10
3.4.2 Special-Status Species	3-22
3.4.3 Waterfowl.....	3-52
3.5 Cultural Resources	3-52
3.5.1 Archaeological and Historical Significance of the Natomas Basin.....	3-53
3.5.2 City of Sacramento	3-54
3.5.3 Sacramento County	3-55
3.5.4 Sutter County	3-55
3.6 Land Use.....	3-56
3.6.1 Surrounding Land Uses.....	3-56
3.6.2 Existing and Planned Land Uses.....	3-56
3.6.3 Relevant Land-Use Planning Goals and Policies	3-59
3.6.4 Agriculture in the Natomas Basin.....	3-61
3.7 Socioeconomics	3-62
3.7.1 City of Sacramento and Sacramento County.....	3-62
3.7.2 Sutter County	3-63
3.8 Traffic and Circulation.....	3-64
3.8.1 City of Sacramento	3-64
3.8.2 Sacramento County	3-65
3.8.3 Sutter County	3-65
3.9 Noise.....	3-65
3.9.1 City of Sacramento	3-65
3.9.2 Sacramento County	3-66
3.9.3 Sutter County	3-66
3.10 Air Quality.....	3-66
3.10.1 Sacramento Valley Air Basin	3-66
3.10.2 Regulatory Background.....	3-67
3.11 Public Health and Safety	3-69
3.11.1 Background on Wildlife Hazards at Airports	3-69
3.11.2 Sacramento International Airport and Vicinity	3-71
4. Environmental Consequences.....	4-1
4.1 Introduction.....	4-1
4.1.1 Resources Considered and Summary of Impacts	4-1
4.1.2 Approach to Cumulative Impacts Analysis	4-3
4.1.3 Previous Evaluation of Effects of Covered Activities	4-10
4.1.4 Approach to Analysis of Independent Implementation by Individual Permittees.....	4-12
4.2 Geology and Soils.....	4-14
4.2.1 Proposed Action	4-14
4.2.2 Alternative 1. Increased Mitigation	4-16
4.2.3 Alternative 2. Habitat-based Mitigation	4-16

4.2.4 Alternative 3. Reserve Zones..... 4-17

4.2.5 Alternative 4. Reduced Potential for Incidental Take..... 4-17

4.2.6 Alternative 5. No Action Alternative 4-17

4.2.7 Effects Under Independent Implementation 4-17

4.2.8 Cumulative Impacts 4-18

4.3 Water Resources..... 4-18

4.3.1 Proposed Action..... 4-19

4.3.2 Alternative 1. Increased Mitigation..... 4-23

4.3.3 Alternative 2. Habitat-based Mitigation 4-24

4.3.4 Alternative 3. Reserve Zones..... 4-24

4.3.5 Alternative 4. Reduced Potential for Incidental Take..... 4-24

4.3.6 Alternative 5. No Action Alternative 4-24

4.3.7 Effects Under Independent Implementation 4-25

4.3.8 Cumulative Impacts 4-26

4.4 Biological Resources 4-26

4.4.1 Methodology 4-27

4.4.2 Significance Thresholds 4-27

4.4.3 Previous Evaluation of Effects of Covered Activities 4-28

4.4.4 Changes to Habitat from Covered Activities..... 4-29

4.4.5 Proposed Action..... 4-31

4.4.6 Alternative 1 - Increased Mitigation..... 4-95

4.4.7 Alternative 2 - Habitat-based Mitigation..... 4-101

4.4.8 Alternative 3. Reserve Zone Alternative 4-104

4.4.9 Alternative 4. Reduced Development..... 4-105

4.4.10 Alternative 5. No Action Alternative 4-108

4.4.11 Independent Implementation 4-109

4.4.12 Cumulative Impacts 4-127

4.5 Cultural Resources..... 4-127

4.5.1 Proposed Action..... 4-128

4.5.2 Alternative 1. Increased Mitigation Ratio..... 4-130

4.5.3 Alternative 2. Habitat-based Mitigation 4-130

4.5.4 Alternative 3. Reserve Zones..... 4-130

4.5.5 Alternative 4. Reduced Potential for Incidental Take..... 4-131

4.5.6 Alternative 5. No Action Alternative 4-131

4.5.7 Effects Under Independent Implementation 4-131

4.5.8 Cumulative Impacts 4-132

4.6 Land Use 4-132

4.6.1 Proposed Action..... 4-133

4.6.2 Alternative 1. Increased Mitigation Ratio..... 4-135

4.6.3 Alternative 2. Habitat-based Mitigation 4-136

4.6.4 Alternative 3. Reserve Zones..... 4-136

4.6.5 Alternative 4. Reduced Potential for Incidental Take..... 4-137

4.6.6 Alternative 5. No Action Alternative 4-137

4.6.7 Effects Under Independent Implementation 4-138

4.6.8 Cumulative Impacts 4-139

4.7 Socioeconomics..... 4-139

4.7.1 Proposed Action..... 4-140

4.7.2 Alternative 1. Increased Mitigation 4-142

4.7.3 Alternative 2. Habitat-based Mitigation 4-143

4.7.4 Alternative 3. Reserve Zones 4-144

4.7.5 Alternative 4. Reduced Potential for Incidental Take 4-144

4.7.6 Alternative 5. No Action Alternative..... 4-144

4.7.7 Effects Under Independent Implementation..... 4-144

4.7.8 Cumulative Impacts..... 4-145

4.8 Traffic 4-145

4.8.1 Proposed Action 4-146

4.8.2 Alternative 1. Increased Mitigation 4-147

4.8.3 Alternative 2. Habitat-based Mitigation 4-148

4.8.4 Alternative 3. Reserve Zones 4-148

4.8.5 Alternative 4. Reduced Potential for Incidental Take 4-148

4.8.6 Alternative 5. No Action Alternative..... 4-149

4.8.7 Effects Under Independent Implementation..... 4-149

4.8.8 Cumulative Impacts..... 4-149

4.9 Noise..... 4-150

4.9.1 Proposed Action 4-151

4.9.2 Alternative 1. Increased Mitigation 4-152

4.9.3 Alternative 2. Habitat-based Mitigation 4-152

4.9.4 Alternative 3. Reserve Zones 4-152

4.9.5 Alternative 4. Reduced Potential for Incidental Take 4-153

4.9.6 Alternative 5. No Action Alternative..... 4-153

4.9.7 Effects Under Independent Implementation..... 4-153

4.9.8 Cumulative Impacts..... 4-154

4.10 Air Quality..... 4-154

4.10.1 Proposed Action 4-155

4.10.2 Alternative 1. Increased Mitigation 4-156

4.10.3 Alternative 2. Habitat-based Mitigation 4-157

4.10.4 Alternative 3. Reserve Zones 4-157

4.10.5 Alternative 4. Reduced Potential for Incidental Take 4-157

4.10.6 Alternative 5. No Action Alternative..... 4-158

4.10.7 Effects Under Independent Implementation..... 4-158

4.10.8 Cumulative Impacts..... 4-158

4.11 Public Health and Safety 4-159

4.11.1 Proposed Action 4-159

4.11.2 Alternative 1. Increased Mitigation Ratio 4-163

4.11.3 Alternative 2. Habitat-based Mitigation 4-164

4.11.4 Alternative 3. Reserve Zones 4-164

4.11.5 Alternative 4. Reduced Potential for Incidental Take 4-164

4.11.6 Alternative 5. No Action Alternative..... 4-165

4.11.7 Effects Under Independent Implementation..... 4-165

4.11.8 Cumulative Impacts..... 4-165

4.12 Other Impact Categories..... 4-166

4.12.1 Irreversible Environmental Changes..... 4-166

4.12.2 Relationship Between Short-term Uses of the Environment and
the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-term Productivity 4-166

4.12.3 Unavoidable Environmental Effects 4-166

4.12.4 Growth-inducing Impacts 4-167

5. List of Preparers..... 5-1

 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 5-1

 California Department of Fish and Game 5-1

 City of Sacramento..... 5-1

 Sutter County..... 5-1

 CH2M HILL..... 5-1

 Planning Dynamics Group 5-2

6. References 6-1

7. Glossary 7-1

8. Index..... 8-1

Appendices

A Public Notices

B Fish and Wildlife Service Species List and CNDDDB Summary Lists

C Summary of Previous Environmental Review of Planned Urban Development

Tables

ES-1 EIR/EIS Alternatives..... ES-3

ES-2 Summary of Potential Environmental Impacts Associated With
Proposed Action and Alternatives ES-6

1-1 Cross-Reference for CEQA Contents 1-4

2-1 Proposed Covered Species..... 2-16

2-2 Mitigation Ratios..... 2-27

2-3 Composition of Development Fee..... 2-46

2-4 Mitigation Requirements Under Alternative 2..... 2-51

3-1 Description of Land Use/Habitat Categories 3-17

3-2 Land Uses/Habitat Categories in the City of Sacramento and Annexation
Area (acres) 3-18

3-3 Canals in the City of Sacramento and Annexation Area (miles)..... 3-18

3-4 Land Uses in Unincorporated Sacramento County (acres)..... 3-20

3-5 Canals in Unincorporated Sacramento County (miles)..... 3-20

3-6 Land Uses in Sutter County 3-21

3-7 Canals in Sutter County (miles)..... 3-22

3-8 List of Special-Status Species Identified by USFWS as Potentially Occurring
in the Natomas Basin..... 3-23

3-9 Summary of Total Areas by Jurisdiction in the Natomas Basin..... 3-56

3-10 Summary of City of Sacramento General Plan Land-Use Goals..... 3-59

3-11 Summary of Sutter County General Plan Land-Use Goals 3-60

3-12 Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program Acreage in Study Area 3-61

3-13 Estimated Population Within the Natomas Basin and Vicinity,
Sacramento County 3-63

3-14 Estimated Population Within the Natomas Basin and Vicinity, Sutter County 3-64

3-15 Ambient Air Quality Standards 3-68

3-16 Air Quality Attainment Designations 3-69

4-1 Acreage of Urban Development Covered by the Proposed Action 4-29

4-2 Change in Land Use Acreage from Planned Development in the Natomas Basin... 4-30

4-3 Change in Canal Length from Planned Development in the Natomas Basin (miles) 4-31

4-4 Change in Canal Acreage from Planned Development in the Natomas Basin 4-31

4-5 Change in Potential Habitat for Delta Tule Pea (acres) 4-39

4-6 Change in Potential Habitat for Sanford’s Arrowhead (acres) 4-41

4-7 Vernal Pool Species Covered by the ITPs 4-43

4-8 Change in Potential Habitat for Giant Garter Snake (acres) 4-50

4-9 Change in Potential Habitat for Northwestern Pond Turtle (acres) 4-59

4-10 Change in Potential Habitat for White-faced Ibis (acres) 4-63

4-11 Change in Potential Habitat for Tricolored Blackbird (acres)..... 4-66

4-12 Change in Potential Habitat for Swainson’s Hawk (acres) 4-69

4-13 Change in Foraging Habitat Within 1 Mile of Swainson’s Hawk
Nest Sites (acres)..... 4-72

4-14 Change in Potential Habitat for Aleutian Canada Goose (acres) 4-77

4-15 Change in Potential Habitat for Burrowing Owl (acres) 4-79

4-16 Change in Potential Habitat for Bank Swallow (acres)..... 4-82

4-17 Change in Potential Habitat for Loggerhead Shrike (acres)..... 4-84

4-18 Mitigation Ratios Under Alternative 2 4-101

4-19 Land Use Acreage in the Natomas Basin under Baseline and Future
Conditions Under Alternative 4 (acres) 4-106

4-20 Existing and Future Rice Field Distribution 4-163

Figures

1-1 Regional Location 1-2

1-2a Jurisdictions 1-6

1-2b 1997 Land Use and Jurisdictions 1-7

2-1 City of Sacramento Permit Area 2-4

2-2 Sutter County Permit Area 2-5

2-3 Natomas Basin Conservancy Permit Area 2-7

2-4 Water Delivery System and Water Drainage System 2-9

2-5 Reserve Zone Alternative 2-23

3-1 1997 Land Use 3-13

3-2 Current Natural Areas 3-15

3-3 Giant Garter Snake Records 3-41

3-4 Other Species Records 3-43

3-5 Active Swainson’s Hawk Nests 3-45

4-1 Habitat management Plans in the Vicinity of the EIR/EIS Plan Area 4-5

4-2 Bird Strike Zones..... 4-161